

Developmental mechanisms underlying the evolution of human cortical circuits

Pierre Vanderhaeghen ^{1,2}  & Franck Polleux ^{3,4} 

Abstract

The brain of modern humans has evolved remarkable computational abilities that enable higher cognitive functions. These capacities are tightly linked to an increase in the size and connectivity of the cerebral cortex, which is thought to have resulted from evolutionary changes in the mechanisms of cortical development. Convergent progress in evolutionary genomics, developmental biology and neuroscience has recently enabled the identification of genomic changes that act as human-specific modifiers of cortical development. These modifiers influence most aspects of corticogenesis, from the timing and complexity of cortical neurogenesis to synaptogenesis and the assembly of cortical circuits. Mutations of human-specific genetic modifiers of corticogenesis have started to be linked to neurodevelopmental disorders, providing evidence for their physiological relevance and suggesting potential relationships between the evolution of the human brain and its sensitivity to specific diseases.

Sections


Introduction

Human-specific brain features

Evolution of corticogenesis mechanisms

Genetic substrates of cortical evolution

Conclusions and perspectives

¹VIB-KU Leuven Center for Brain & Disease Research, Leuven, Belgium. ²Department of Neurosciences, Leuven Brain Institute, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. ³Department of Neuroscience, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA. ⁴Mortimer B. Zuckerman Mind Brain Behavior Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA.  e-mail: pierre.vanderhaeghen@kuleuven.be; fp2304@columbia.edu

Introduction

Approximately six million to eight million years ago, the common ancestor of great apes (chimpanzees and bonobos) and species of the *Homo* lineage lived in Africa. Following the divergence of hominins, one branch, through multiple radiation (in which new species emerge) and extinction events, gave rise to *Homo sapiens* approximately 300,000 years ago¹. As a result of this evolution, the brain of modern humans displays remarkable cognitive abilities that enable functions such as elaborate working memory, self-awareness, advanced forms of communication, complex tool making and cultural development^{2,3}. The mechanisms underlying the evolutionary emergence of human cognitive abilities constitute a long-standing topic of interest in neuroscience that has recently been transformed by the coalescence of major advances in comparative genomics, developmental neurobiology and new experimental models to study human neural development and function (Box 1).

Here, we present an overview of these recent advances linking developmental mechanisms with the evolution of human neural circuits. We focus on the cerebral cortex, arguably the most complex and among the most divergent of the brain structures of humans, compared with the other species. We first describe some of the most notable qualitative and quantitative differences between the human cortex and the cortex of other animals at the cellular level. We then review the cellular mechanisms that underlie specific features of human corticogenesis and their molecular links with upstream human-specific genomic changes. Finally, we illustrate how the identification of human-specific modifiers of cortical development and function could lead to the discovery of previously unknown aspects of human brain structure, function and disease.

Human-specific brain features

The staggering structural and functional complexity of brain organization can be studied at multiple scales⁴. At a macroscopic scale, neural circuits are organized in interconnected networks of neurons by short-range and long-range axonal projections. At a microscopic scale, neurons connect to each other via precise synaptic connections. One main goal of neuroscience is to understand how variation at these different levels underlies the brain's functional properties and ultimately shapes behaviour. Studying this challenging problem is essential if we are to decipher the mechanisms that mediated the emergence of the cognitive capacities of modern humans⁵.

Cellular composition

More cortical neurons. The human brain contains approximately 85 billion neurons, each forming thousands of individual synapses with other neurons^{3,6,7}. The numbers of neuronal and non-neuronal cells in specific brain structures in 41 mammalian species have been estimated, leading the authors of those studies to the conclusion that the brain of modern humans can be considered a “scaled-up primate brain”^{6,7}. However, two brain structures have expanded significantly more than others (in terms of neuron number) among primates and particularly in humans: the cerebral cortex (Fig. 1a) and the cerebellum^{6,7}. With 16 billion neurons, the human cerebral cortex contains a larger number of neurons than that of our closest relative, the chimpanzee (6 billion neurons), or the more distantly related rhesus macaque (1.7 billion neurons)⁶. As a comparison, the neocortex of the mouse and the neocortex of the rat (two mammalian species often used in neuroscience) contain approximately 14 million and 31 million neurons, respectively^{6,7}.

Altered cortical neuron composition and diversification. Beyond absolute cell number, a key substrate of the complexity of the human cortex is its cell composition and diversity (Fig. 1b). The neocortex is composed of six layers containing both long-distance-projecting, excitatory pyramidal neurons and locally projecting, inhibitory interneurons. These broad neuronal classes can be further divided into several dozen subclasses and subtypes, each of which displays specific molecular, cellular and hodological features^{8,9}. Some subtypes are partially specific to particular cortical layers: for instance, deep-layer (DL) neurons (those found in layers 5 and 6) mostly send long-range projections to subcortical targets, whereas upper-layer (UL) neurons (found in layers 2 and 3) project mostly locally and to other cortical areas. Finally, layer 4 neurons receive most of the monosynaptic connections from the thalamus that relay sensory information from the periphery¹⁰ (Fig. 1b). Although these basic principles are largely conserved in all mammals, important cellular features that display divergence in humans have been uncovered. For example, thalamo-recipient cortical layer 4 is expanded in humans and non-human primates compared with non-primate species and displays a more complex cytoarchitecture¹¹.

One key human feature is a significant expansion of the fraction of cortical neurons that are UL pyramidal neurons: these neurons constitute more than 40% of the neurons in the human cerebral cortex, whereas their proportion is around 25% in the mouse cerebral cortex (with intermediate values for non-human primates including great apes)^{11–13} (Fig. 1b). Recent multimodal analyses combining single-cell transcriptomic, electrophysiological and morphological profiling have revealed more heterogeneity and diversity among UL neurons in the human cortex, where at least five UL subtypes have been identified, compared with three in the mouse (Fig. 1b). Interestingly, the two additional UL subtypes found in humans display patterns of gene expression that are reminiscent of DL neurons^{14,15}. The resulting increase in the proportion of long-range projecting neurons in external ULs of the cortex¹⁶ could be an important substrate of the increased corticocortical connectivity found in the human brain^{14,16} (Fig. 1b).

Beyond UL neurons, single-cell transcriptomic comparisons of adult human cortical neurons with their mouse and non-human primate counterparts have revealed considerable gene expression divergence in homologous cell subtypes in each species, as well as changes in their relative proportion^{15,17,18}. For example, Betz neurons, a subtype of DL corticospinal neurons that connect to the spinal cord to mediate fine motor control, are enriched in primates¹⁷. Von Economo neurons are a neuronal subtype characterized primarily by bitufted dendritic morphology and found primarily in the anterior cingulate cortex and frontal cortex of several higher mammals, including humans. Recent work identified some of the transcriptional and electrophysiological signatures of von Economo cells, which suggest that this cell type is transcriptionally homologous to extratelencephalic excitatory neurons that project to subcortical targets¹⁹.

Orthogonal to its laminar organization, the cerebral cortex is parcelled into numerous cortical areas populated by neurons displaying specific patterns of gene expression and connectivity^{20,21}. Although areas subserving first-order motor control and sensory processing are well conserved in mammals, the number of cortical areas has considerably expanded in the primate lineage, rising from approximately 20 in the mouse to more than 150 in humans^{22–24}. In particular, there has been a diversification of association areas^{22–24}. The cortical areas involved in language processing and production are present in both humans and non-human primates, but their long-range input and output connectivity are divergent, which might explain human-specific linguistic


Box 1

New experimental paradigms to study human brain development and evolution

The study of human corticogenesis has entered a new era, enabled by the development of new tools and experimental models. Each of these tools and models (illustrated in the figure) has both advantages and limitations, but their successful combination has led to novel insights into the mechanisms underlying human-specific features of brain development.

The simplest *in vitro* models of corticogenesis that have been developed are adherent two-dimensional (2D) cultures of cortical progenitors and neurons, generated from pluripotent stem cells (PS cells; either embryonic stem cells (ES cells) or induced PS cells (iPS cells)) derived from humans, macaques or chimpanzees. Despite their simplicity, these models enable one to faithfully recapitulate many aspects of cortical neurogenesis, including the sequential generation of cortical pyramidal neurons with identities corresponding to those present in the six layers of the human brain^{75,76,211}. These models are particularly well suited for high-throughput genetic or chemical screening or for single-cell experiments, such as those involving live imaging or clonal analyses. However they generate outer radial glial cells and upper-layer neurons in a much lower proportion than occurs *in vivo* and do not allow us to study key cytoarchitecture features such as the ventricular zone, outer subventricular zone

and cortical plate⁷⁵. Three dimensional (3D) cultures of cortical cells, also known as neural or cortical organoids, leverage the remarkable self-organizing properties of neural cells to recapitulate some of the key aspects of the *in vivo* spatial organization of cortical progenitors and neurons, including a highly patterned ventricular zone-like structure. However, the generation of properly patterned neuronal layers remains difficult to achieve robustly^{212–214}. Organoids are also amenable to functional screening, at least at early stages and can include assemblies of excitatory and inhibitory neuronal populations (assembloids) that reflect some aspects of cortical circuits²¹⁵. Neural organoids have been maintained in culture for long periods (more than 1 year); however, it remains challenging to keep differentiated neurons healthy in these relatively large structures, and *in vitro* culture can lead to metabolic stress²¹⁶. PS cell-derived (or fetal cortex-derived) cortical cells grown in 2D culture or as organoids can also be studied *in vivo* thanks to xenotransplantation in the neonatal mouse brain. This enables us to follow neuronal development for months-long periods without metabolic stress and allows the cells to develop into functional neurons that can display higher-order properties, such as robust synaptic plasticity and even physiologically tuned responses to sensory stimuli¹¹¹.



HAR, human accelerated region; HGE, human gained enhancer; HSGD, human-specific gene duplication.

(continued from previous page)

Xenotransplantation experiments are thus ideally suited to the study of higher-order properties of human neurons *in vivo*; however, they remain a low-throughput method that is time-consuming compared with *in vitro* approaches.

The mouse is the main *in vivo* tool for the study of cortical development, because of its associated genetic and embryology toolbox (including transgenesis and *in utero* electroporation), which can be used to study *in vivo* the effects of human genes or regulatory elements. One major caveat of this approach, however, is that the genes are studied in a cellular context that may lack key species-specific features. Other mammalian models (such as the ferret, marmoset or macaque) are much less versatile than the mouse but enable us to study human-specific genes in a context that is closer to the human context. In addition, non-mammalian models (ranging from invertebrates such as *Drosophila* to vertebrates such as reptiles,

capacities²⁵. Most importantly, the size of the human prefrontal cortex (PFC), which is involved in complex social behaviours and executive planning²⁶, is larger than that of non-human primates^{27,28} (although whether this reflects an absolute increase in size or an increase relative to the size of other areas is still debated²⁹). The increase in the size of the PFC also reflects an increase in the size and/or number of its subdivisions (Fig. 1a). The developmental mechanisms underlying the diversification of association and PFC areas remain largely unknown. However, proposed mechanisms include changes in morphogen signalling³⁰ (see later) and the ‘untethering’ of cortical neuron patterning from the constraining influence of local signalling centres, due to the significant expansion of the cortical surface³¹.

Despite the significant progress achieved through multimodal single-cell analyses, the field is only starting to evaluate the degree of cortical neuron diversity in different species. More work is needed to explore further the relationship between areal diversity, connectome properties and specific developmental programmes underlying neuronal diversification. Nevertheless, current data indicate that the human cortex is characterized by an expansion and diversification of UL and PFC neurons. Both of these sets of neurons make extensive corticocortical connections, which are correspondingly increased in the non-human primate cortex and the human cortex (Fig. 1b,c). Intriguingly, UL and PFC neurons are also characterized by their delayed generation and/or development: UL neurons are the last to be generated during neurogenesis, and PFC areas are the last to reach mature patterns of structural and functional connectivity³². This is consistent with an important influence of extended developmental timing on the evolution of the human cortex (see later).

Altered interneuron composition. Approximately 20% of rodent cortical neurons are locally projecting interneurons; however, the proportion is greater in the human cortex (approximately 25–30%)^{17,33}. Cortical interneurons can be subdivided into at least 25 subtypes on the basis of their morphology, connectivity, electrophysiological properties and gene expression profiles⁹. These subtypes appear to be largely conserved in mice and humans, at least on the basis of transcriptomic profiling^{34,35}. However, there are significant species differences in the repertoire of genes expressed in each interneuron subtype and also in their relative abundance and laminar position^{34,35}. For instance, rosehip

fish and birds) are crucial for the identification of new molecular, cellular and developmental mechanisms relevant for human brain evolution.

Access to *ex vivo* samples of fetal and adult human cortex and the ability to perform not only molecular but also cellular and physiological experiments on these preparations provides opportunities to study the human cortex, despite the scarcity of the available material. Finally, human genetics and comparative genomics enable the identification of candidate genes and genomic elements linked to cortical development and evolution as well as mutations leading to neurodevelopmental disorders²⁷. These candidate genomic elements can then be tested, alone or in combination, for their cellular and molecular impact on cortical development and function, using a combination of *in vivo* animal models, *in vitro* human cellular models and xenotransplantation.

interneurons, characterized by their specialized axon arborization that targets the dendrites of pyramidal neurons, have been found in the human cortex but not in the mouse cortex³⁶. Future studies should assess whether the qualitative and/or quantitative distribution of interneuron subtypes differs between humans and non-human primates and/or is a feature conserved in other mammals. It will also be important to determine how differences in interneuron composition affect circuit properties in a species-specific manner.

Altered glial cell-type composition. The cortex also contains non-neuronal cell types, including astrocytes, oligodendrocytes and microglial cells. However, only a few studies have explored whether these cell types differ in their transcriptional profile, morphology or function in the brain of various types of mammals^{34,37}. Comparison between several non-human primates and humans revealed that three main types of cortical astrocytes (interlaminar, protoplasmic and fibrous) display distinct morphological features^{38,39} and vary in abundance depending on their laminar position and gene expression profile^{34,37}. Recent single-cell RNA sequencing also revealed a significant degree of subtype diversity among microglial cells, especially in humans^{40,41}. Many differences in glial cell gene expression profiles have been reported between humans and chimpanzees¹⁸, and several studies have also suggested that glial cells constitute a larger proportion of the total number of cells found in the human brain than in the brains of other mammalian species^{40–42}. A recent study used a combination of cell fate mapping, morphological analysis and gene expression profiling to illustrate the diversity of the astrocyte lineage and cell composition in the human cortex⁴³. Future investigations will undoubtedly document whether these differences are observed in non-human primates and whether they play a role in human-specific traits of brain development and/or adult brain function.

Cellular properties

Increased neuronal size, complexity and connectivity. All mammalian cortical pyramidal neurons have some generic morphological features, including a single axon that projects towards the white matter, an apical dendrite that is oriented towards the cortical surface and basal dendrites that branch extensively (with all dendrites being decorated by dendritic spines)⁴⁴. However, human pyramidal neurons display some additional species-specific properties. Their dendrites are

longer and more branched than their mouse, macaque and chimpanzee counterparts^{45–48}. Moreover, they bear a higher density of spines than macaque, marmoset and mouse cortical pyramidal neurons^{47,49} and more spines than cortical pyramidal neurons in any other primates, including great apes such as chimpanzees and bonobos^{45,50} (Fig. 1c). The average size of the spines (including the length of spine neck) is also greater in human pyramidal neurons, suggesting distinctive functional properties^{47,49}.

As a result of their greater dendritic length and increased spine density, human pyramidal neurons receive more synaptic inputs than those of non-human primates or other mammalian species (approximately 30,000 synapses for human pyramidal neurons versus approximately 9,000–15,000 in the mouse and the rat)^{3,47,51}. A recent study estimated that human pyramidal neurons receive approximately twice as many synapses per neuron as those of any other primate⁵⁰. Interestingly, electron microscopy studies revealed that the ratio between the number of excitatory synapses and the number of inhibitory synapses received by pyramidal neurons in the human cortex and the rat cortex is constant (approximately 9:1). This indicates that there has been a similar increase in both types of synapse in humans, leading to a remarkable conservation of the excitation–inhibition balance^{3,12}.

The emergence of new approaches to study connectomes and to create single-neuron reconstructions from human post-mortem brain samples will enable us to determine whether there are variations in the number of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic connections received by individual neuronal subtypes in different cortical layers and areas. This information could then further inform computational approaches to model the impact of such variation in synaptic connectivity on circuit function.

Altered functional and circuit properties. Several recent studies, taking advantage of live biopsies of healthy human cortical tissue, have compared the functional properties of human and rodent cortical pyramidal neurons. Multiple features that differ between humans and other mammalian species and lead to differences in neuronal excitability or input–output relationships have been identified^{42,46,48,52–56}. These results suggest that the biophysical properties of the dendrites of human layer 5 and layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons are specialized compared with those of other mammals.

Human UL and DL pyramidal neurons both display a much increased apical dendrite length, which might – depending on the degree of passive attenuation and the extent of active conductance mechanisms within these dendrites – contribute to increased electrical compartmentalization (defined as the ability of distal synaptic inputs to influence dendritic and somatic spiking) and thus affect synaptic integration⁵⁷ (Fig. 1c). Indeed, local depolarization of the distal dendrites of human layer 5 pyramidal neurons was shown to provide limited excitation to the soma, compared with rat pyramidal neurons⁵³. This increased compartmentalization was accompanied by reduced inducibility of dendritic spikes, which could result from decreased ion channel densities. This is supported by biophysical modelling: if it is assumed that there is no change in the expression levels of the ion channels that propagate synaptic potentials or in the levels of voltage-gated ion channels, then their density per unit membrane surface will decrease as dendritic arbor size increases⁵³. However, even for human DL pyramidal neurons, there seems to be considerable variability in the electrophysiological properties of different cell types. For example, a recent study showed that a subset of molecularly identified layer 5 pyramidal neurons in the human temporal cortex exhibit increased

inducibility of dendritic spiking compared with other layer 5 neurons⁵⁸. Studies that aim to identify the electrophysiological properties characterizing human pyramidal neurons will need not only to integrate their morphological variations but also to examine these properties in molecularly defined subtypes, which remains challenging.

Another distinctive feature of some classes of human pyramidal neurons compared with those of several other species, including non-human primates^{52,53}, is a reduction in their intrinsic excitability, which could critically affect information processing and neural circuit plasticity. Another study showed that I_h channels (mediating hyperpolarization-activated cation currents) are expressed at higher levels in human UL pyramidal neurons than in mouse UL pyramidal neurons, conferring the human pyramidal neurons with distinct dendritic processing properties⁵⁵. Moreover, it has been shown that human UL pyramidal neurons display calcium-mediated dendritic spikes that are triggered only by selective classes of stimuli that drive both bottom-up inputs (driven by sensory afferents) and top-down inputs (driven by mixed selectivity feedback corticocortical projections)⁵⁴. More work is needed to determine whether these dendritic spikes are mediated by the molecular effectors that drive the dendritic calcium spikes observed in DL cortical and CA1 hippocampal pyramidal neurons in other mammalian species⁵⁷. It will also be important to test, by both experimental and computational approaches, whether the putative increased functional compartmentalization of human UL pyramidal neurons alters their dendritic integration properties and the functional properties of cortical circuits^{59–61}. This has been suggested by biophysical modelling, but remains unclear on the basis of rodent studies.

Finally, compared with rodent cortical neurons, human cortical neurons display distinctive synaptic features: some synapses between human pyramidal neurons and interneurons are remarkably strong and plastic^{62–65}, and synapses between human pyramidal neurons display properties enabling them to relay information during periods of particularly high frequency synchrony (which could change the modalities of information that can be transferred through corticocortical connections)⁶⁶. The results of these comparative studies should be carefully calibrated with recordings from non-human primates, but they suggest that human pyramidal neurons may have evolved unique input–output integration properties that could underlie enhanced information processing.

From where could the increased connectivity of human UL and DL pyramidal neurons originate? Evidence suggests that it is likely to have arisen, at least in part, from the increased number of pyramidal neurons, as well as from the increase in total surface area and number of cortical areas characterizing the human neocortex. Cortical circuits exhibit several canonical organizational principles^{67,68} (Fig. 1b). UL neurons transform and relay the sensory information that they receive locally to other UL pyramidal neurons (via recurrent excitation), to DL pyramidal neurons that provide feedforward projections to subcortical brain regions and to UL pyramidal neurons in more distant associative cortical areas (via long-range feedforward projections). In turn, the UL pyramidal neurons receive feedback inputs from local layer 5 pyramidal neurons and from long-range feedback corticocortical inputs (Fig. 1c). Thus, one emerging model suggests that the dendrites of human UL pyramidal neurons integrate and perform complex dendritic computations on the inputs they receive. By contrast, these computations are thought to be restricted to DL pyramidal neurons in rodents⁵⁴. The increase in corticocortical connectivity in human cortical circuits, especially between areas such as the PFC and the parietal cortex, could thus be a critical substrate for the evolution of higher cognitive functions




Fig. 1 | Cortical circuit evolution. **a**, The expansion of cortical area size that has occurred in the human brain compared with the mouse brain. The expanded size of the human neocortex is accompanied by an increase in the number of cortical areas driven by the emergence of new cortical areas (such as Wernicke's area and Broca's area). In addition there has been an increase in the size of certain cortical areas, including a pronounced expansion of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) compared with its putative homologous regions (PFC-like regions) in the mouse. **b**, In mammals, cortical neurons are organized in six layers that are generated in an inside-first, outside-last manner: early-born neurons generate deep-layer (layers 5 and 6) pyramidal neurons (blue), then thalamo-recipient layer 4 neurons (green) and finally upper-layer (layers 2 and 3) pyramidal neurons (shades of red). Deep-layer pyramidal neurons project mostly to subcortical targets such as the dorsal thalamus (from layer 6) and the striatum, spinal cord and other subcortical targets (from layer 5). Upper-layer pyramidal neurons project mostly locally to

layer 5 and to other cortical areas (via feedforward corticocortical projections). Upper-layer pyramidal neurons receive inputs from long-range feedback projections from other cortical areas in layer 1. The human cortex is characterized by an increased number of feedforward and feedback corticocortical connections (indicated by thicker lines in the right panel)⁶⁹. **c**, Upper-layer pyramidal neurons in the human cortex are larger, are more complex (increased branching) and have a longer apical dendrite than those present in mice and other mammals (including non-human primates)^{45–48}. It has been proposed that the longer apical dendrite of upper-layer pyramidal neurons in the human cortex leads to increased dendritic compartmentalization because the apical tuft is located further away from the soma, although the evidence for this remains controversial (indicated by the question mark)^{52–55,58}. Human upper-layer pyramidal neurons also receive more excitatory synapses and inhibitory synapses than upper-layer pyramidal neurons in other mammals^{3,50}. MT, middle temporal area.

in humans⁶⁹. Importantly, the increased contribution of corticocortical connectivity might be linked to evolutionary changes in the patterns of cortical neurogenesis.

Evolution of corticogenesis mechanisms

What are the developmental mechanisms underlying human-specific features of cortical organization? Corticogenesis involves a highly complex developmental choreography, from the early steps of neurogenesis to the final stages of cortical circuit formation and refinement. Although most of the underlying mechanisms are conserved in all mammals, some striking features have diverged significantly in non-human primates and humans.

Changes in neurogenesis

Neurogenesis determines how many and which subtypes of neurons are generated. Studies using the mouse as a model, together with human neuroembryology, genetics and pluripotent stem cell (PS cell)-based modelling (Box 1), have identified several features of cortical neurogenesis that underwent specific evolution in non-human primates and humans (Fig. 2). As these were reviewed recently and extensively^{70–73}, they are only summarized here.

Cortical neurogenesis starts with the expansion of neuroepithelial cells (NECs), which divide symmetrically without further differentiation. This step is thought to have a crucial influence on brain size by determining the initial size of the cortical neural progenitor pool². NECs later convert into radial glial cells (RGCs), which then start generating cortical neurons. Cortical neurogenesis from RGCs typically occurs through asymmetrical divisions that enable them to restore the progenitor pool while expanding neuronal production and leads to the formation of a ventricular zone, from which the cortical neurons migrate to form the cortical plate (Fig. 2a,b).

These key steps of neurogenesis are highly conserved among mammals, with the exception of one crucial point: timing. NEC amplification lasts about 1 day in the mouse but up to 2 weeks in primates⁷². Similarly, cortical neurogenesis takes 1 week in the mouse, 2 months in the macaque and almost 4 months in humans⁷⁰ (Fig. 2a–d). By allowing an increased number of NEC and RGC divisions to occur, this prolonged human neurogenesis is likely to constitute a key substrate of cortical expansion. Moreover, as UL neurons are the last to be generated, the prolonged neurogenesis may favour their expansion. However, further experimental testing of this idea is needed and will require the determination of the mechanisms underlying the timing differences in human neurogenesis. Importantly, the timing of cortical neurogenesis

in humans is largely conserved in human cortical cells *in vitro*^{74–79}, even when they are co-cultured with macaque cells or transplanted into the mouse brain^{75,78}, indicating that the underlying mechanisms are largely intrinsic to human cortical progenitors (see the discussion later). The timing of other events important for neurogenesis is also extended in humans compared with mice, in a cell-intrinsic fashion. These include a longer cell cycle length, which could influence neurogenic fate specification^{80,81}, and a longer critical period during which cell fate remains plastic immediately after RGC division⁸².


Another feature of non-human primate and human cortical neurogenesis is the expansion of specific populations of progenitors located outside the ventricular zone, known collectively as basal progenitors. Some basal progenitors, called 'intermediate progenitor cells', are found in large numbers in the mouse embryonic cortex, but are increased in number in higher mammals (including primates), where they are thought to have contributed to cortical expansion⁸³. Moreover, another population of basal progenitors, called 'outer radial glial cells' (oRGCs; also known as basal RGCs), are barely present in the mouse cortex but are strikingly expanded in number in the human cortex^{84–88} (Fig. 2). oRGCs have distinctive features that are critical to their contribution to human cortex expansion: they display remarkable self-renewing capacities (in vitro clonal experiments have revealed that single oRGCs can generate hundreds of neurons⁸⁹), and their expansion occurs at late stages of corticogenesis, coinciding with UL neuron generation. In the human cortex, oRGCs are thus thought to constitute the main progenitor source of origin for UL neurons⁹⁰ (Fig. 2).

Although the relative contribution of each mechanism to cortical expansion remains to be determined, it can be hypothesized that prolonged NEC amplification, prolonged neurogenesis and amplification of oRGCs, together synergize to increase cortical surface area (thus allowing more diversification of cortical areas to occur) and the generation of UL neurons (thus providing an ideal substrate for the expansion of corticocortical connectivity; Fig. 2). Indeed, in a recent study in which UL neuron generation was enhanced pharmacologically in the mouse visual cortex⁹¹, mice displaying a 20% increase in UL neuron number showed enhanced functional correlations among UL neuron assemblies, more functionally clustered neuronal ensembles and increased perceptual discrimination.


Changes in neuronal differentiation

Following neurogenesis, neurons undergo several major cellular and molecular transitions, leading to the growth and patterning of axons and dendrites. This is followed by synapse formation and pruning, leading


a Mouse cortical neurogenesis (6–7 days)




b Human cortical neurogenesis (3–4 months)




c Mouse cortical development




d Human cortical development



e Neuronal development after xenotransplantation



f



g




Fig. 2 | Species-specific features of human cortical development. **a**, Mouse cortical neurogenesis lasts approximately 1 week^{208,209}. Following amplification of neuroepithelial cells (NECs), radial glial neural progenitors (also known as radial glial cells (RGCs)) in the ventricular zone (VZ) divide symmetrically to expand their pool (step 1) or divide asymmetrically to generate neurons (step 2). Following the migration of the neurons along the radial glia scaffold, this generates first the deep-layer (DL) neurons destined to reside in layers 5 and 6 and to project subcortically. In later steps of neurogenesis, mainly through the generation of intermediate progenitor cells (IPCs) in the subventricular zone (SVZ), additional DL neurons (step 3) and the upper-layer (UL) neurons destined to reside in layers 2 and 3 (step 4) and to form corticocortical projections are produced. A specialized type of radial glial progenitor called an ‘outer radial glial cell’ (oRGC), which loses its apical attachment at the ventricular surface but keeps its basal endfeet at the pial surface, is found in the mouse cortex but is extremely rare. **b**, In the human cortex, neurogenesis lasts approximately 4 months, with a more prolonged period of neuronal generation⁷². oRGCs are found in increased numbers in non-human primates, and in particular in the human cortex, contributing to the increased generation of layer 2/3 UL neurons in these species⁸⁵. **c,d**, Comparison of the time frame of the sequential events that characterize mouse and human corticogenesis⁷². In the human cortex all the developmental events shown – including neurogenesis, gliogenesis (formation of astrocytes), synaptogenesis and the myelination of axons by oligodendrocytes – are highly neotenic⁷². In the human cortex, synaptogenesis (which includes synapse formation and pruning) is not complete until approximately 15 years after birth. **e,f**, In xenotransplantation experiments, cortical neurons derived from pluripotent stem cells (PS cells) of various species are transplanted into

the neonatal cortex of immunodeficient mice, followed by their analysis in the months following transplantation (panel **e**). These studies have revealed the intrinsically slow and neotenic features of human induced PS cell-derived or embryonic stem cell-derived pyramidal neurons compared with pyramidal neurons derived from ape or mouse stem cells. When mouse or ape PS cell-derived cortical pyramidal neurons are transplanted into the mouse neonatal cortex, they develop mature morphological features in about 1 month, thus following the timeline of mouse cortical neurons⁷⁶. However, when human PS cell-derived cortical pyramidal neurons are transplanted into mouse cortex, their differentiation occurs over months (9–12 months for maximal dendritic spine density, for instance) (panel **f**), mimicking the protracted maturation of cortical neurons in the developing human cortex^{75,111}. The chart in panel **g** illustrates the timeline of dendrite and synapse maturation observed *in vivo* for the indicated species compared with cortical neurons transplanted from the corresponding species into mouse cortex¹¹¹. Results from these xenotransplantation experiments indicate that transplanted neurons from each species differentiate at a pace similar to that of their *in vivo* equivalents, suggesting that the mechanisms controlling the species-specific timing of development are largely intrinsic to the neurons. CP, cortical plate; E, embryonic day; GW, gestational week; ISVZ, inner subventricular zone; IZ, intermediate zone; L, layer; mpt, months post-transplantation; MZ, marginal zone; OSVZ, outer subventricular zone; P, postnatal day; SP, subplate; wpt, weeks post-transplantation. The mouse transplanted neuron image in panel **f** is adapted from ref. ⁷⁶, Springer Nature Ltd. The human transplanted neuron images in panel **f** are adapted with permission from ref. ⁷⁵, Elsevier. The mouse and human dendritic spine images in panel **f** are adapted with permission from ref. ¹¹¹, Elsevier.

to the formation of functional neural circuits that are further refined by various plasticity mechanisms. Although these steps are widely conserved, their developmental timeline is strikingly different across species, taking weeks in the mouse, months in the macaque and years in humans (Fig. 2c,d). The most prolonged steps of neuronal development in humans include dendritic outgrowth, dendritic spine and synapse formation (taking months to several years) and synaptic pruning (taking up to two decades in the PFC)^{92–99}. The resulting human brain neoteny (retention of juvenile features in a mature organism) is one of the most specific and functionally relevant features of human brain development and is likely to underlie the prolonged periods of motor, sensory and cognitive development that characterize the human species. Moreover, it could lead to longer critical periods (stages of development during which experience-dependent plasticity, thought to be crucial for the acquisition of higher cognitive features, occurs)^{2,100}. Disruption of this timeline of cortical development could lead to brain pathology: for instance, accelerated brain development has been associated with autism spectrum disorder (ASD)^{101,102}. Notably, one study reported prolonged cortical neuron development in the chimpanzee, suggesting that neotenic features of cortical development might be graded among great apes¹⁰³.

An intriguing aspect of human brain neoteny is that it appears to be cell type dependent, with UL and PFC neurons being the most neotenic^{95,104}. The resulting differences in the rate of maturation between cortical layers and cortical areas could have a significant impact on cortical circuit development^{105,106}. Moreover, the differentiation of some cortical interneurons is even more prolonged: human interneurons continue to migrate and integrate during the first few postnatal months in the PFC¹⁰⁷, and other forebrain regions such as the amygdala may contain immature neurons for years postnatally¹⁰⁸. Finally, non-neuronal cells, most strikingly oligodendrocytes, also display protracted development in humans¹⁰⁹, leading to heterochrony of myelination that could also be important for the timing of human brain circuit assembly¹¹⁰.

What are the mechanisms underlying human brain neoteny? Important hints have come from xenotransplantation experiments. Mouse pyramidal neurons transplanted into the neonatal mouse brain develop along their physiological timeline⁷⁶, whereas human cortical pyramidal neurons transplanted into the mouse cortex take up to 11 months to mature^{75,111} (Fig. 2e–g). Interestingly, one study showed that although transplanted human neurons at 9 months display electrophysiological features that are similar to those of adult neurons, they still display less-mature patterns of morphogenesis, consistent with the fact that human cortical neurons may take several years to reach full maturity^{92–99}. An even more prolonged development is observed for transplanted human cortical interneurons^{112,113}. Remarkably, despite this protracted timeline of development, transplanted human pyramidal neurons integrate functionally into the host cortical circuits and display physiological responses to sensory stimuli (such as visual tuning): thus, they are not stalled in the unusual host environment, but instead develop physiologically at the pace characterizing the human brain *in vivo*¹¹¹. Xenotransplanted human neurons develop over months even when transplanted as single neurons into mouse cortical tissue to allow optimal cellular and synaptic integration¹¹¹ (Fig. 2e,f) or when faster maturation is induced by molecular reprogramming¹¹⁴, and transplanted chimpanzee PS cell-derived cortical neurons develop at a faster pace than human neurons¹¹⁵ (Fig. 2g). Similarly expanded timelines of human cortical neuron maturation compared with non-human primate cortical neuron maturation are also observed in *in vitro* systems^{78,114}. Overall these data suggest that the mechanisms underlying neoteny of cortical neuron maturation are largely cell intrinsic (see the further discussion later).

Another striking feature of non-human primate and human cortical neuron development is the expansion of transient populations of neurons that are present and functional only during development. These include Cajal–Retzius neurons, which are found at the surface

of the cortex, and subplate neurons, which are located below the cortical plate, both of which play a crucial role in the assembly of mature cortical circuits¹¹⁶.

Genetic substrates of cortical evolution

Three main types of genetic changes are thought to lead to alterations in gene expression and/or protein function relevant to evolution (Fig. 3a): base-pair substitutions in non-coding enhancer and promoter regions that can alter gene regulatory networks; non-synonymous substitutions in coding regions of genes leading to amino acid changes that result in modified protein function (Fig. 3b); and the emergence of new genes, mostly through large segmental duplications^{117,118} (Fig. 3c). All three mechanisms have been involved in the emergence of human-specific traits of brain development and circuit function.

Gene regulatory changes

Functionally relevant evolutionary changes in the genome are often linked to gene regulatory mechanisms¹¹⁹. Identifying human or non-human primate genomic evolutionary changes, and linking them to gene regulation during human brain development, has proved a huge challenge because of the high degree of conservation of gene regulatory programmes during mammalian neural development¹²⁰. However, many human-specific *cis*-regulatory elements (CREs) and upstream transcriptional regulators (*trans* mechanisms), including long-range enhancers, have been identified that are uniquely regulated during human corticogenesis, leading potentially to human-specific control of gene expression (Fig. 3 and Table 1).

***Cis*-regulatory mechanisms.** Comparative genomics identified human accelerated regions (HARs): DNA sequences that are very strongly conserved in mammals or vertebrates but display sequence changes in the human genome only^{121,122}. Mouse transgenics¹²³ suggests that

most HARs correspond to regulatory elements, although some (such as HAR1) are transcribed to produce long non-coding RNAs expressed in developing neurons in the fetal and adult cortex¹²¹. HARs are enriched in the vicinity of genes involved in neural and/or cortical development, suggesting their importance for gene regulation during corticogenesis^{18,124–129}. Supporting this, the enhancer activity of more than 3,000 HARs was recently tested *in vitro* by massively parallel reporter assays^{130–132}. More than half of the HARs tested displayed enhancer activity in neural progenitor cells, and this activity was, in most cases, either increased or decreased in human HARs when compared with their ancestor sequences. When tested in mouse and human cells, most HARs displayed similar levels of activity, further pointing to *cis* variation as the main driver of HAR evolutionary changes^{130,131}. Most HARs were mapped to genomic loci that are transcriptionally active in cortical progenitors or postmitotic neurons during fetal development, further pointing to their prominent regulatory role during all stages of corticogenesis.

The HAR5 element was the first of these elements to be functionally explored in the context of cortical neurogenesis (Fig. 3a and Table 1). HAR5 lies upstream of the *FZD8* gene, which encodes a receptor for WNT proteins (the mediators of a pathway that controls the balance between self-renewal and differentiation in cortical progenitors^{133,134}). With use of a mouse transgenic model in which the *Fzd8* coding sequence was placed under the control of HAR5 variants, human HAR5 was shown to enhance the expression of *Fzd8* and the proliferation of RGCs (resulting in increased cortical size), whereas chimpanzee HAR5 did not have these effects¹³⁵. Several other genes, including *PPP1R17*, which encodes a cell cycle regulator, are similarly controlled by HAR elements that have a selective influence on their expression in human cortical progenitors¹³⁰.

Beyond neurogenesis, the involvement of HARs in cortical neuron development and maturation is supported by human genetic




Fig. 3 | Genetic modifiers of human brain evolution. **a**, Human-specific base-pair substitutions are often found in regulatory regions (enhancers and promoters), where they can alter spatiotemporal patterns of gene expression. **b**, Another class of human-specific genetic modifiers is non-synonymous base-pair substitutions in exons that result in changes in amino acid composition in the corresponding protein-coding region specifically in the human genome. **c**, Human-specific gene duplications can lead to the production of new gene paralogues. These duplications can lead to a new (A'), nearly identical gene copy that increases

gene dosage (redundancy), cause the copied gene to lose its function, becoming a pseudogene (because of a loss in regulatory sequences or transcription start site) or produce a gene that has acquired a new function through truncation or fusion with other coding sequences (neofunctionalization). As illustrated in Table 1, a number of genetic modifiers in each of these classes have been shown experimentally to result in alterations in cellular functions in the developing or adult brain.

Table 1 | Examples of human-specific genetic modifiers affecting gene expression and/or protein function

Gene	Modification type	Species specificity	Molecular effects	Phenotypic outcome	Refs.
Gene regulatory changes					
<i>FZD8</i>	HAR5 (enhancer)	Human	Human HAR5 increased expression of <i>Fzd8</i> in mouse RGCs	Increased RGC proliferation and increased cortical size	135
<i>EPHA7</i>	HSL	Human	Increased expression of <i>EPHA7</i> in human subplate cortical neurons	Dendritic remodelling	145
<i>OSTN</i>	Gain of binding sites for the transcription factor MEF2	Primate	Activity-dependent expression of <i>OSTN</i> in primate brain	Activity-dependent dendritic remodelling	170
<i>CBLN2</i>	Loss of binding sites for transcription factor SOX5	Hominid	Increased expression of <i>CBLN2</i> in PFC neurons	Increased synapse formation and connectivity in the PFC	165
<i>PLXNA1</i>	Loss of binding sites for the transcription factor FEZF2	Primate	Decreased expression of <i>PLXNA1</i> in cortical neurons	Decreased axonal pruning of direct corticospinal projections	168
Protein coding changes					
<i>FOXP2</i>	Changes in the coding sequence	Human	Two non-synonymous amino acid substitutions present in human <i>FOXP2</i>	Increased dendritic growth in human medium spiny striatal neurons	181
New human-specific genes					
<i>NOTCH2NLA/NOTCH2NLB/NOTCH2NLC</i>	Human-specific gene duplication	Human	Increased Notch signalling in human cortical progenitors	Prolonged neurogenesis and increased cortical neuron number CNVs in these genes are associated with microcephaly/macrocephaly	139,140
<i>ARHGAP11B</i>	Human-specific gene duplication	Human	Increased calcium signalling in mitochondria	Increased amplification of basal progenitors and increased cortical neuron number	189,207
<i>CROCCP2</i>	Human-specific gene duplication	Hominid	Decreased ciliary dynamics and increased mTOR signalling in cortical progenitors	Increased amplification of basal progenitors and increased cortical neuron number	194
<i>SRGAP2C</i>	Human-specific gene duplication	Human	Inhibition of all functions of the protein encoded by the ancestral gene (postsynaptic <i>SRGAP2A</i>)	Neotenic synaptic maturation Increased corticocortical connectivity Changes in sensory coding Improved behavioural performance	198,200,201

See also Fig. 3. CNV, copy number variant; HAR5, human accelerated region 5; HSL, human-specific loop; PFC, prefrontal cortex; RGC, radial glial cell.

studies¹²⁴. Sequence analysis of HARs revealed that these elements are often highly invariant in the human population, suggesting the functional importance of their ultraconserved structure. Moreover, several rare HAR variants are found in individuals with neurodevelopmental disorders¹²⁴. Some of these pathogenic HAR variants were studied in the mouse, revealing their impact on the expression patterns of genes required for cortical neuron development. Consistent with the potential role of HARs in human cortical circuit evolution, one class of HAR-linked genes is also preferentially expressed in association cortical areas in the adult brain¹²⁵.

Overall, these data point to HARs as potentially important molecular effectors of human cortical evolution. Future studies should consider inserting the human version of these regions into the endogenous ancestral locus in the mouse or other non-humans to circumvent the pitfalls of the viral and transgenic assays (including massively parallel reporter assays) that have been used so far to study these elements. Most importantly, new approaches should be developed to determine

the repertoire of *trans* factors that bind to HAR elements and how it is affected by human-specific substitutions.

Comparative epigenetic profiling of humans, macaques and mice at early stages of corticogenesis has identified another class of interesting CREs, called 'human gained enhancers' (HGEs), that have gained activity in humans¹³⁶. Massively parallel reporter assays revealed differential transactivation activity of a third of the tested HGEs in human neural stem cells versus chimpanzee neural stem cells¹³¹. Like HARs, HGEs are enriched in the vicinity of genes related to corticogenesis, including genes preferentially expressed in oRGCs¹³⁷. HGEs are also enriched in the vicinity of genes encoding proteins involved in the Notch pathway¹³⁸, which promotes clonal expansion and prolonged neurogenesis of human RGCs and oRGCs^{85,139,140}. These genes include *HEY2*, for which nearby HGE sequence variants were associated with changes in cortical surface area¹⁴¹. Similar comparative annotation of CREs in the adult brain also revealed a large number of elements that are more active in humans than in non-human primates, including a

few that are not present in chimpanzees¹⁴². Some HGEs even display *H. sapiens*-specific changes in sequence that are not found in the genome of Neanderthals¹⁴³, suggesting a contribution to the most recent evolutionary changes in human corticogenesis.

The 3D nuclear organization of DNA and chromatin structures associated with complex gene regulation – such as topology-associated domains and chromatin loops¹⁴⁴ – has also been shown to have human-specific features during corticogenesis^{138,145}. For example, hundreds of topology-associated domains and chromatin loops are present in humans and not found in macaques and mice¹⁴⁵. The boundaries of topology-associated domains and anchors of the loops were found to often be enriched in HARs and other enhancers that are specifically active in human cortical cells. Among these, one enhancer was found that interacts with the promoter of *EPHA7*, which encodes an axon guidance factor involved in corticogenesis¹⁴⁶. Disruption of this enhancer in human neurons in vitro led to decreased *EPHA7* expression and increased dendritic outgrowth¹⁴⁵.

Finally, in addition to the point mutation mechanisms described above, the human-specific loss or gain of entire CREs has also been described in the human genome, compared with non-human hominids, and in some cases could be linked to changes in the regulation of associated genes during cortical development^{147,148}.

There is thus a rich repertoire of CREs that regulate gene expression during corticogenesis by influencing transcription factor binding and/or chromatin structure, pointing to a need to study their biological impact on human corticogenesis and their relation to human evolution. An innovative approach was recently described in which PS cells derived from different species were fused^{149,150}. Fused chimpanzee–human induced PS cells were differentiated into cortical organoids, followed by transcriptome profiling, leading to the identification of genes uniquely upregulated in human astrocytes¹⁴⁹. The use of composite cell lines of different species, associated with a direct comparison with contributor cells of origin, will constitute a promising tool to study the relative contribution of *trans* versus *cis* mechanisms of control of gene expression during corticogenesis.

Trans mechanisms and transcriptomics. In parallel with studies of *cis*-regulatory mechanisms, comparative transcriptomics of the developing cortex in mice, humans and other primates and corresponding PS cell-derived cortical organoid models have been used to examine human-specific patterns of gene expression^{79,151–153}. Although the studies revealed overall highly conserved patterns of gene expression, they suggest an ‘hourglass’ pattern of species-specific differences in gene expression, with greater divergence at embryonic to mid-fetal stages, and to a lesser extent during adolescence/young adulthood, and less divergence during late fetal stages^{151–153}.

The analyses also revealed a consistent protracted pattern of ‘immature’ gene expression in humans, consistent with the neotenic nature of neurogenesis and neuronal differentiation^{77,79}. Although the mechanisms upstream of cortical transcriptional heterochrony in humans remain unclear, some leads have started to emerge. Transcriptome profiling of human and non-human hominid cortical organoids revealed delayed expression of the transcription factor ZEB2 in the human organoids, which was linked functionally to delayed transition from NECs to RGCs (and thereby potentially to increased size of the initial progenitor pool)⁷⁴. Comparative transcriptomics in the human cortex versus the non-human primate cortex also revealed quantitative differences in genes involved in signalling pathways functionally relevant to neurogenesis. These include the

PDGF pathway, which is upregulated in the primate cortex compared with the mouse and promotes RGC proliferation in the human (but not the mouse) fetal cortex¹⁵⁴, and the mTOR pathway, which is selectively upregulated in human oRGCs and controls their morphology and migration properties^{155,156}. A final interesting case is the KRAB zinc-finger protein family, which are the largest group of transcription factors in mammalian genomes and are considerably amplified in non-human primate and human genomes¹⁵⁷. Although these transcription factors are mostly involved in transposable element repression, they also control the expression of endogenous genes, particularly during neural development^{158,159}. For instance, the KRAB zinc-finger protein ZNF558 is expressed in human neural progenitors but not chimpanzee neural progenitors, and its loss of function in neural organoids leads to a dysregulation of gene expression that is compatible with the more precocious neurogenesis observed in non-human primates¹⁵⁸.

Finally, beyond transcription factor-related mechanisms, several microRNAs^{160,161} and primate and/or hominid-specific long non-coding RNAs^{162–164} are expressed specifically during non-human primate or human corticogenesis, some of which have been linked to the regulation of cortical progenitor proliferation and self-renewal.

Divergent gene regulatory mechanisms in human cortical neurons and glia. It has been more challenging to explore conserved and divergent transcriptomic patterns during later stages of human cortical neuron and circuit development than in the early stages of corticogenesis. Neurons are typically harder to profile than progenitors using single-cell transcriptomics, and the postnatal stages of human development (which are the most relevant to neuronal differentiation and circuit formation) are among the least accessible for molecular or cellular analyses. Nevertheless, recent studies have started successfully to link divergent transcriptional regulation to cortical neuron connectivity (Fig. 3 and Table 1). A striking example is provided by *CBLN2* (which encodes cerebellin 2), a gene that regulates synaptogenesis in many brain areas, which was found to be more broadly expressed in the primate PFC than in the mouse PFC¹⁶⁵. This upregulation was linked to the loss of a regulatory binding site for the transcription factor SOX5, a key repressor during corticogenesis, and this was found to directly impact the development of neuronal connectivity in the mouse PFC¹⁶⁵. Moreover, retinoic acid signalling was found to regulate the expression of *CBLN2*, and was shown to be increased and expanded in the primate PFC at early stages and to drive PFC expansion in the mouse cortex³⁰ and in human¹⁶⁶ cortical organoids. Together with single-cell RNA sequencing efforts that have started to uncover the developmental dynamics of gene expression across the human fetal cortex¹⁶⁷, these data provide important hints about the species-specific transcriptional mechanisms that might participate in the development of connectivity in particular cortical areas.

Another interesting example linked to the patterning of connectivity is provided by the species-specific regulation of the plexin A1 guidance receptor gene, *PLXNA1* (ref. ¹⁶⁸) (Fig. 3 and Table 1). In primates, but not rodents, motor area-derived corticospinal pyramidal neurons make abundant synapses with motor neurons, which might underlie increased dexterity. Juvenile mouse corticospinal neurons also develop these connections but they are pruned at adult stages, in large part through the action of semaphorin repellent guidance cues¹⁶⁸. The semaphorin receptor plexin A1 was found to be strongly expressed in corticospinal neurons in the mouse, but not human motor cortex, and the lack of expression of *PLXNA1* in the human cortex was linked

Box 2

Human cortex evolution and disease

Many neurological and psychiatric diseases alter cognitive and social brain functions that are particularly enhanced in humans, and the human brain seems particularly sensitive to specific neurological conditions. Moreover, many of these diseases appear to have a developmental origin, suggesting the fascinating possibility that their pathogenic mechanisms involve human-specific genomic and developmental mechanisms.

Some neurodevelopmental disorders appear to be directly caused by genetic disruption of human-specific genes or mechanisms. These include mutations in human accelerated regions (HARs)¹²⁴ and also mutations in human-specific gene duplicates, many of which are found within loci that are the locations of pathogenic copy number variants²¹⁸. Among these, the 1q21.1 locus is enriched in many human-specific genes, including the NOTCH2NL gene human-specific paralogues. This suggests that the phenotypic expression of neurodevelopmental disorders arising from mutations in this region (such as 1q21.1 deletion) might be, in part, human specific. Microdeletions in the 1q21.1 locus are associated with microcephaly and schizophrenia, whereas microduplication of this region is associated with macrocephaly and autism spectrum disorder (ASD)²¹⁹. Notably, some of the copy number variant breakpoints within the 1q21.1 locus are provided by the NOTCH2NL genes, which can then be deleted or duplicated^{139,220}. Increased NOTCH2NL gene expression and copy number amplification have also been associated with increased proliferative properties of glioblastoma cells²²¹, and mutations in these genes were found in cases of neurodegeneration^{222,223}.

Conversely, some well-conserved disease genes may exert their pathogenic effects through human-specific mechanisms. For instance, the protein encoded by the causative gene in fragile X syndrome, FMRP, was found to bind to different sets of mRNAs in humans compared with other species^{224,225}. Among the human-specific targets of FMRP is *NOS1*, and levels of *NOS1* are affected

by the mutation that causes fragile X syndrome in human neurons but not mouse neurons^{224,225}.

It is also possible that human corticogenesis is more sensitive to specific diseases than corticogenesis in other animals because the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying these disorders are rooted in developmental events that display human-specific features. These include the prolonged neotenic timeline of cortical development, which could be particularly relevant for ASD and schizophrenia (two neurodevelopmental disorders that affect critical periods of development and plasticity)²²⁶. At least some forms of ASD could be linked to accelerated neurogenesis or neuronal development. Synapse and dendritic spine formation are increased precociously in individuals with ASD²²⁷, and early postnatal brain overgrowth is found in many forms of ASD^{101,102}. Moreover, transcriptome analysis identified genes displaying delayed 'neotenic' expression in humans versus non-human primates and showed that this pattern appears to be accelerated in some individuals with ASD²²⁸. Studies using pluripotent stem cell models suggest that there are temporal shifts in cells derived from individuals with ASD, with these cells displaying accelerated neuronal maturation (which could be primed in neural progenitor states²²⁹) and differentiation²²⁸.


Finally, the prolonged development of human cortical circuits makes the human brain particularly sensitive to postnatal disruptions, whether of intrinsic or environmental origin³². For instance, schizophrenia has long been associated with excessive synapse pruning, leading to decreased connectivity at adolescent or young adult stages (when symptoms typically arise²³⁰), perhaps as a result of the human neotenic pattern of synaptic pruning. On the other hand, even diseases occurring at much later stages, such as Alzheimer disease, could display human specificity linked to neuronal development. For instance, human (but not mouse) cortical neurons are sensitive to amyloid plaque toxicity following transplantation in mouse models of Alzheimer disease²³¹.

to primate-specific changes in upstream enhancer regions that confer binding to the transcription repressor FEZF2 (ref. ¹⁶⁸).

Following circuit formation, neurons undergo synaptic plasticity in response to changes in activity. The specific gene regulatory programmes involved in this plasticity¹⁶⁹ were shown to display significant differences between human and non-human neurons^{170–172}. For example, *OSTN* encodes a well-conserved secreted protein that is expressed in muscle and bone in mice and humans but is expressed also in the brain only in humans and macaques¹⁷⁰. *OSTN* expression is induced in an activity-dependent manner in layer 4 of the macaque primary visual cortex¹⁷⁰. Loss of function of *OSTN* in human neurons in vitro increased dendritic outgrowth, suggesting that it acts as a negative modulator of activity-dependent dendritic branching (although more functional exploration is needed to determine its biological functions in vivo). The activity-dependent expression of *OSTN* in human neurons could be linked to the presence, in primates but not in other mammals, of binding sites for MEF2 transcription factors¹⁷⁰. Interestingly, MEF2A

(and downstream genes) was previously identified as displaying a 'neotenic' pattern of expression in the human cortex¹⁷³. Together with the identification of HARs upstream of MEF2C that are mutated in specific neurodevelopmental disorders¹²⁴, this indicates that the MEF2 family and its downstream regulatory network are an attractive target for the evolution of cortical neuronal development programmes.

Human-specific gene regulation has also been identified in interneurons and glial cells. For example, a recent study performed in human PS cell-derived cortical interneurons identified human-specific or primate-specific regulatory sequences responsive to neuronal activity¹⁷⁴ that are enriched in genes associated with neurodevelopmental or psychiatric disorders, including the ASD-linked genes *SHANK3* and *FMR1*. Another interesting example involves secretoggin (SCGN), a calcium-binding protein that is strongly expressed in a subset of interneurons in primates but not in mice¹⁷⁵. Forced expression of SCGN in mouse interneurons led to increased dendritic complexity, suggesting that SCGN functions in the development of a subtype of primate



cortical interneurons. Finally, a recent study documented hominin-specific changes in regulatory elements affecting gene expression in oligodendrocytes that are dysregulated in the brain of individuals with ASD¹⁷⁶. However, more work is required to test their cellular functions and determine how they might impact circuit development and/or function.

Protein-coding changes

Genome sequencing of many mammalian species has uncovered human-specific amino acid substitutions in approximately 100 genomic loci with a size of approximately 100 kb, representing thousands of protein-coding genes^{177,178}. From use of stringent criteria, non-synonymous substitutions that show strong positive selection in

Fig. 4 | Example of a human-specific modifier of cortical development and function. **a, b**, SRGAP2 (known as SRGAP2A in humans) is a postsynaptic protein that contains three functional domains. SRGAP2 is located at both excitatory and inhibitory synapses in mammalian cortical pyramidal neurons, where it promotes the maturation of the synapses while limiting their density¹⁹⁸. A human-specific truncated paralogue of this protein, SRGAP2C, binds to and inhibits all known functions of SRGAP2A, leading to neotenic synaptic development and increased synapse density (as shown on the right side of the figure) when expressed in mouse pyramidal neurons^{198,200}. **c**, The introduction of SRGAP2C into mouse layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons drives an increase in the number of excitatory synapses as a result of a specific increase in corticocortical (CC)

synaptic connections from both feedforward and feedback projections (shown in blue, with increased connections indicated by a thicker line) but not from subcortical (SC) inputs (shown in red)²⁰¹. **d**, The changes in circuit architecture induced in mice transgenically expressing SRGAP2C in all cortical pyramidal neurons lead to increased reliability of sensory coding, illustrated here as the fraction of action potentials that are induced during sensory stimulations (shown in grey) in layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons. Mice expressing SRGAP2C also show improved learning compared with wild type (WT) littermates in a whisker-based sensory discrimination task²⁰¹. GAP, GTPase-activating protein; L, layer; SH3, SRC homology 3 domain. Figure adapted from ref. ²¹⁰, CC BY 4.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

human exons, compared with exons of 29 other mammals (including chimpanzees), represent approximately 15,000 sites in around 4,400 proteins¹⁷⁹. Surprisingly, however, the functional impact of these human-specific substitutions on human brain evolution has been explored for only very few of them.

Notable exceptions include the human-specific amino acid substitutions affecting the transcription factor FOXP2. FOXP2 is mutated in individuals with a monogenic speech disorder¹⁸⁰, and human FOXP2 contains human-specific non-synonymous base pair substitutions leading to changes in two amino acids at the protein level¹⁸¹. A mouse transgenic model that displays these two substitutions in mouse *Foxp2* exhibited changes in dopamine concentrations in multiple brain regions (including the striatum and frontal cortex), decreased exploratory behaviours, altered ultrasonic vocalizations and increased neurite outgrowth and synaptic plasticity of striatal projection neurons¹⁸¹ (Table 1). These results linked human-specific changes in the FOXP2 amino acid sequence with alterations in cortex–basal ganglia circuits that might have played a role in the evolution of speech production¹⁸¹. Future experiments should explore whether human-specific coding substitutions in other genes are relevant to the emergence of human-specific traits.

Of particular interest for future exploration are about 100 proteins that display amino acid substitutions that are specific to humans when compared with the closer hominins Neanderthals and Denisovans¹⁸². Some of these proteins (namely, CASC5, KIF18A and SPAG5) are expressed in neural progenitors, whereas others (SLITRK1 and LRTM2) are involved in synaptogenesis, making them potentially relevant for evolutionary changes in several aspects of human corticogenesis.

New genes

An important source of evolutionary innovation can be provided by new genes, which typically emerge as a result of large segmental genomic duplications or retrotransposition¹¹⁸ (Fig. 3 and Table 1). Some human-specific genes resulting from retrotransposition are expressed during corticogenesis¹⁸³, but recent evidence indicates that species-specific large segmental gene duplications are an important source of genomic innovation during human evolution. The human genome contains approximately 30 gene families that show human-specific duplication patterns^{184,185}. For most, both the ancestral copy of these genes and their human-specific paralogues are expressed throughout human fetal corticogenesis¹⁴⁰. Since these genes are often located in hotspots for genomic recombination, human-specific large segmental duplications can display a variable degree of conservation in copy number in the human population, which can in turn be used to estimate selection pressure¹⁸⁶.

Several human-specific duplicated genes have been studied functionally during cortical neurogenesis, revealing their contributions to

human cortical progenitor expansion and prolonged neurogenesis. The NOTCH2NL genes constitute a family of human-specific partial gene duplicates of *NOTCH2*, which encodes a key receptor in the Notch signalling pathway. Three NOTCH2NL genes are present only in the human genome^{139,140}, and they are located in a genomic region that is associated with changes in brain size¹⁸⁷ (see later). Experiments combining gain of function and loss of function of these genes in the mouse embryonic cortex and in human PS cell models of corticogenesis have revealed that the NOTCH2NL genes are human-specific activators of the Notch pathway, driving RGC expansion, prolonged neurogenesis and increased cortical neuron production^{139,140,188}. Clinical human genetics further supports the importance of NOTCH2NL genes in human biology and disease (Box 2).

A surprisingly large number of human-specific gene duplications seem to have contributed to the evolutionary expansion of the cortical anlage (Box 2), including *ARHGAP11B*, *TBC1D3*, *TMEM14B* and *CROCCP2*, which all promote basal progenitor (including oRGc) expansion^{189–194}. Among these, *CROCCP2*-encoded protein was found to act as a human-specific modifier of mTOR signalling¹⁹⁴, which is selectively upregulated in human oRGcs⁷⁹.

Several human-specific gene duplicates are also selectively expressed in developing or mature postmitotic neurons, suggesting their involvement in cortical circuit assembly and function. For example, the gene encoding SLIT–ROBO Rho GTPase-activating protein 2 (SRGAP2)¹⁹⁵ is expressed in postmitotic cortical pyramidal neurons, as well as other neuronal subtypes, throughout development and in the adult brain¹⁹⁶. The ancestral gene, called ‘SRGAP2A’, encodes a multifunctional protein that is highly conserved among all mammals¹⁹⁷. SRGAP2A has undergone a series of large segmental duplications leading to two partial duplications (SRGAP2B and SRGAP2C) that contain only the first nine exons of SRGAP2A^{186,198}. SRGAP2C emerged approximately 2.4 million years ago (at the birth of the *Homo* lineage) and exhibits a highly conserved copy number among human populations, suggesting strong positive selection¹⁸⁶. SRGAP2C encodes a truncated protein that can bind to and inhibit all known functions of SRGAP2A^{198–200} (Fig. 4a).

Functional studies in vivo demonstrated that SRGAP2A is a postsynaptic protein that promotes the maturation of excitatory and inhibitory synapses in cortical pyramidal neurons and, at the same time, limits the total number of synapses formed by cortical layer 5 and cortical layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons^{198–200}. Induction of SRGAP2C expression in mouse cortical layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons phenocopies a partial loss of function of SRGAP2A and leads to a significant delay in synaptic maturation and an increase in the density of synapses (Fig. 4a), mimicking two important features of human pyramidal neurons³. Recent results demonstrate that transgenic expression of SRGAP2C

Glossary

Association areas

A class of cortical areas defined by their opposition to primary areas (cortical regions receiving direct inputs from the dorsal thalamus). Association areas are where different sensory and/or motor modalities combine and where complex cognitive processes such as attention, planning and memories are encoded.

Cell fate mapping

A range of techniques aimed at genetically labelling the progeny of individual classes of progenitors, thereby reconstructing the lineage linking dividing progenitors and all the cells they generate.

Chromatin loops

The situation in which stretches of genomic sequence that lie on the same chromosome (configured in *cis*) are in closer physical proximity to each other than they are to intervening sequences.

cis-regulatory elements

Portions of genes containing the promoter and other regulatory elements controlling levels of gene transcription.

Comparative genomics

A subfield of biology involving the analysis of DNA sequence divergence and conservation between different organisms.

Connectome

A description of all the synaptic connections between neurons found within a brain region or the entire nervous system of an organism.

Cortical organoids

Self-organized 3D multicellular structures that can be patterned to mimic the neocortex.

Dendritic processing

The receipt, integration and processing of many synaptic inputs by dendrites. This processing takes the form of changes in membrane potential, which can — depending on the density and distribution of passive or active ionotropic channels — differentially affect the generation of action potentials at the level of the soma.

Dendritic spines

Micron-long protrusions present in specific neuronal subtypes, such as cortical pyramidal neurons, at the tip of which is located an excitatory synapse. Spines play important roles in electrically and biochemically isolating the postsynaptic compartment from the dendrite shaft. Experimentally, morphologically identified dendritic spines represent a close approximation to measuring number or density of excitatory synapses received by a neuron.

Epigenetic profiling

Molecular biology technique combined with biochemistry to monitor the post-translational modifications and physical interaction of DNA and chromatin and how they impact gene expression in cells.

Heterochrony

Changes in the relative timing of a developmental event when different species or brain regions are being compared.

Large segmental genomic duplications

Large segments (more than 1kb) of the genome that have been duplicated in another position in the genome.

Long non-coding RNAs

RNAs longer than 200bp that are not translated into protein.

Massively parallel reporter assays

A molecular biology technique used to simultaneously test the activity of multiple candidate genetic regulatory elements in a high-throughput manner.

Morphogen

One of a class of extracellular cues that can act at a distance from its source and regulate gene expression in receiving cells and tissues and thereby play a central role in cell type specification or tissue patterning.

Neurogenesis

The generation of postmitotic neurons by specialized classes of dividing progenitors.

Single-cell RNA sequencing

A high-throughput sequencing technique used to determine the sequences of mRNA expressed in single cells, also referred to as the transcriptional profile of single cells.

Topology-associated domains

Large self-interacting genomic regions (~1Mb) physically interacting inside the nucleus. This level of chromatin organization plays key roles in regulating temporal and spatial patterns of gene expression in gene families such as the HOX cluster.

Xenotransplantation

Transplantation of cells from one species into a different species.

in mouse layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons leads to a specific increase in the number of corticocortical connections between local excitatory pyramidal neurons and increased long-range corticocortical connections between cortical areas²⁰¹ (Fig. 4b,c). In vivo imaging further revealed that layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons respond more reliably to sensory stimulations in *SRGAP2C*-expressing mice than in wild type mice (Fig. 4d) and that *SRGAP2C*-expressing mice also learn more efficiently a whisker-based sensory discrimination task than wild type mice²⁰¹ (Fig. 4d). These results suggest that the emergence of *SRGAP2C* has contributed to the evolution of some of the unique structural and functional features of cortical circuits in the human brain.

Beyond genetic mechanisms

The genetic mechanisms outlined earlier herein are likely to underlie many of the changes in developmental programmes that have driven human cortical evolution. However, emerging data suggest that more global changes in cellular processes could also link development to the

evolution of the human brain. Human cortical neurons were shown to display much slower mitochondrial development and lower levels of oxidative metabolism than mouse neurons, and enhancing mitochondrial function was demonstrated to speed up neuronal morphogenesis and synaptogenesis²⁰². Similarly, recent studies have shown that rates of protein turnover are lower in human cells than in mouse cells^{203,204}, and that lower rates of translation can directly influence the timing of cortical neurogenesis^{205,206}. Collectively, these data suggest that global cellular mechanisms could play an important role in the scaling and patterning of human brain developmental events, in synergy with gene regulation and signalling.

Conclusions and perspectives

In the past two decades, a flurry of studies have revealed human-specific genomic changes — ranging from HARs to human-specific gene duplications — that constitute candidate species-specific modifiers of human brain development. However, only a small fraction of these have

been explored functionally, and often the function of the ancestral genes and/or regulatory sequences remains poorly understood. Moreover, there remains a profound gap in our understanding of how the identified evolutionary changes in developmental programme are linked to the resulting divergence in the properties of human neural circuits.

To move forward, the field needs to develop new experimental tools (Box 1) allowing more high-throughput and parallelized ways to probe the functions of these modifiers during brain development in animal and human models. This is especially true for human-specific modifiers that act relatively late (in postnatal development or even in adulthood) on key steps such as synapse development, which might impact circuit architecture and function, two aspects of human brain development that remain especially challenging to modify and study. The availability of PS cells from humans, non-human primates and other mammals, in combination with advances in genomic editing and emerging tools such as next-generation organoids and xenotransplantation of human neurons, will provide new and exciting opportunities to move towards this goal. However, this should not overshadow the crucial need for animal models that will be essential to understand the mechanisms of corticogenesis in a genuine and robust *in vivo* context. These include invertebrates and mice, but also, importantly, higher mammals (such as ferrets) and non-human primates (such as marmosets and macaque), so as to include a wide spectrum of phylogeny, body size and brain specializations (Box 1). In parallel, new approaches are needed to link the molecular features of cortical cells with circuit assembly and circuit properties, including high-resolution comparative (multi-omic to connectomic) studies in animal models and the human brain.

Finally, it is striking to note that many species-specific gene variants are also the sites of pathogenic or polymorphic variants in the human population. This emphasizes the need to further integrate human genetics with evolutionary genomics to fully comprehend how human-specific genomic changes have made our brain functions uniquely expansive and at the same time susceptible to neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders.

Published online: 15 February 2023

References

- Wood, B. & E, K. B. Hominin taxic diversity: fact or fantasy? *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **159**, S37–S78 (2016).
- Changeux, J. P., Goulas, A. & Hilgetag, C. C. A connectomic hypothesis for the hominization of the brain. *Cereb. Cortex* **31**, 2425–2449 (2021).
- Defelipe, J. The evolution of the brain, the human nature of cortical circuits, and intellectual creativity. *Front. Neuroanat.* **5**, 29 (2011).
- Lichtman, J. W. & Denk, W. The big and the small: challenges of imaging the brain's circuits. *Science* **334**, 618–623 (2011).
- Geschwind, D. H. & Rakic, P. Cortical evolution: judge the brain by its cover. *Neuron* **80**, 633–647 (2013).
- Herculano-Houzel, S. The human brain in numbers: a linearly scaled-up primate brain. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* **3**, 31 (2009).
- Herculano-Houzel, S. The remarkable, yet not extraordinary, human brain as a scaled-up primate brain and its associated cost. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 10661–10668 (2012).
- Yao, Z. et al. A taxonomy of transcriptomic cell types across the isocortex and hippocampal formation. *Cell* **184**, 3222–3241 e3226 (2021).
- Yuste, R. et al. A community-based transcriptomics classification and nomenclature of neocortical cell types. *Nat. Neurosci.* **23**, 1456–1468 (2020).
- Vitali, I. & Jabaudon, D. Synaptic biology of barrel cortex circuit assembly. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **35**, 156–164 (2014).
- Balaram, P., Young, N. A. & Kaas, J. H. Histological features of layers and sublayers in cortical visual areas V1 and V2 of chimpanzees, macaque monkeys, and humans. *Eye Brain* **6**, 5–18 (2014).
- DeFelipe, J., Alonso-Nanclares, L. & Arellano, J. I. Microstructure of the neocortex: comparative aspects. *J. Neurocytol.* **31**, 299–316 (2002).
- Hutsler, J. J., Lee, D. G. & Porter, K. K. Comparative analysis of cortical layering and supragranular layer enlargement in rodent carnivore and primate species. *Brain Res.* **1052**, 71–81 (2005).
- Berg, J. et al. Human neocortical expansion involves glutamatergic neuron diversification. *Nature* **598**, 151–158 (2021).
- A multimodal analysis reveals increased diversity of human upper layer cortical neurons.** Brain Initiative Cell Census Network. A multimodal cell census and atlas of the mammalian primary motor cortex. *Nature* **598**, 86–102 (2021).
- Goulas, A., Zilles, K. & Hilgetag, C. C. Cortical gradients and laminar projections in mammals. *Trends Neurosci.* **41**, 775–788 (2018).
- Bakken, T. E. et al. Comparative cellular analysis of motor cortex in human, marmoset and mouse. *Nature* **598**, 111–119 (2021).
- Khrameeva, E. et al. Single-cell-resolution transcriptome map of human, chimpanzee, bonobo, and macaque brains. *Genome Res.* **30**, 776–789 (2020).
- Hodge, R. D. et al. Transcriptomic evidence that von Economo neurons are regionally specialized extratelencephalic-projecting excitatory neurons. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1172 (2020).
- Nano, P. R., Nguyen, C. V., Mil, J. & Bhaduri, A. Cortical cartography: mapping arealization using single-cell omics technology. *Front. Neural Circuits* **15**, 788560 (2021).
- Rakic, P. Specification of cerebral cortical areas. *Science* **241**, 170–176 (1988).
- Glasser, M. F. et al. A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature* **536**, 171–178 (2016).
- Northcutt, R. G. & Kaas, J. H. The emergence and evolution of mammalian neocortex. *Trends Neurosci.* **18**, 373–379 (1995).
- Krubitzer, L. The magnificent compromise: cortical field evolution in mammals. *Neuron* **56**, 201–208 (2007).
- Pulvermuller, F. & Fadiga, L. Active perception: sensorimotor circuits as a cortical basis for language. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **11**, 351–360 (2010).
- Miller, E. K. & Cohen, J. D. An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **24**, 167–202 (2001).
- Donahue, C. J., Glasser, M. F., Preuss, T. M., Rilling, J. K. & Van Essen, D. C. Quantitative assessment of prefrontal cortex in humans relative to nonhuman primates. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, E5183–E5192 (2018).
- Smaers, J. B., Gomez-Robles, A., Parks, A. N. & Sherwood, C. C. Exceptional evolutionary expansion of prefrontal cortex in great apes and humans. *Curr. Biol.* **27**, 714–720 (2017).
- Gabi, M. et al. No relative expansion of the number of prefrontal neurons in primate and human evolution. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 9617–9622 (2016).
- Shibata, M. et al. Regulation of prefrontal patterning and connectivity by retinoic acid. *Nature* **598**, 483–488 (2021).
- Buckner, R. L. & Krienen, F. M. The evolution of distributed association networks in the human brain. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **17**, 648–665 (2013).
- Sydnor, V. J. et al. Neurodevelopment of the association cortices: patterns, mechanisms, and implications for psychopathology. *Neuron* **109**, 2820–2846 (2021).
- Yu, Y. et al. Interneuron origin and molecular diversity in the human fetal brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* **24**, 1745–1756 (2021).
- Hodge, R. D. et al. Conserved cell types with divergent features in human versus mouse cortex. *Nature* **573**, 61–68 (2019).
- Krienen, F. M. et al. Innovations present in the primate interneuron repertoire. *Nature* **586**, 262–269 (2020).
- Boldog, E. et al. Transcriptomic and morphophysiological evidence for a specialized human cortical GABAergic cell type. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 1185–1195 (2018).
- Oberheim, N. A. et al. Uniquely hominid features of adult human astrocytes. *J. Neurosci.* **29**, 3276–3287 (2009).
- Falcone, C. et al. Cortical interlaminar astrocytes across the therian mammal radiation. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **527**, 1654–1674 (2019).
- Falcone, C. et al. Redefining varicose projection astrocytes in primates. *Glia* **70**, 145–154 (2022).
- Geirsdottir, L. et al. Cross-species single-cell analysis reveals divergence of the primate microglia program. *Cell* **179**, 1609–1622.e1616 (2019).
- Masuda, T. et al. Spatial and temporal heterogeneity of mouse and human microglia at single-cell resolution. *Nature* **566**, 388–392 (2019).
- Sousa, A. M. M. et al. Molecular and cellular reorganization of neural circuits in the human lineage. *Science* **358**, 1027–1032 (2017).
- Allen, D. E. et al. Fate mapping of neural stem cell niches reveals distinct origins of human cortical astrocytes. *Science* **376**, 1441–1446 (2022).
- Marin-Padilla, M. Ontogenesis of the pyramidal cell of the mammalian neocortex and developmental cytoarchitectonics: a unifying theory. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **321**, 223–240 (1992).
- Bianchi, S. et al. Dendritic morphology of pyramidal neurons in the chimpanzee neocortex: regional specializations and comparison to humans. *Cereb. Cortex* **23**, 2429–2436 (2013).
- Deitcher, Y. et al. Comprehensive morpho-electronic analysis shows 2 distinct classes of L2 and L3 pyramidal neurons in human temporal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* **27**, 5398–5414 (2017).
- Elston, G. N., Benavides-Piccione, R. & Defelipe, J. The pyramidal cell in cognition: a comparative study in human and monkey. *J. Neurosci.* **21**, RC163 (2001).
- Mohan, H. et al. Dendritic and axonal architecture of individual pyramidal neurons across layers of adult human neocortex. *Cereb. Cortex* **25**, 4839–4853 (2015).
- Benavides-Piccione, R., Ballesteros-Yanez, I., DeFelipe, J. & Yuste, R. Cortical area and species differences in dendritic spine morphology. *J. Neurocytol.* **31**, 337–346 (2002).
- Sherwood, C. C. et al. Invariant synapse density and neuronal connectivity scaling in primate neocortical evolution. *Cereb. Cortex* **30**, 5604–5615 (2020).
- Comparative analysis of the cortex of 25 primate species reveals that the highest number of synapses per neuron are found in the human cortex.**

51. lascone, D. M. et al. Whole-neuron synaptic mapping reveals spatially precise excitatory/inhibitory balance limiting dendritic and somatic spiking. *Neuron* **106**, 566–578 (2020).
52. Beaulieu-Laroche, L. et al. Allometric rules for mammalian cortical layer 5 neuron biophysics. *Nature* **600**, 274–278 (2021).
Comparative profiling across 10 mammalian species reveals that human cortical neurons are outliers for several key parameters of intrinsic functional properties.
53. Beaulieu-Laroche, L. et al. Enhanced dendritic compartmentalization in human cortical neurons. *Cell* **175**, 643–651 e614 (2018).
54. Gidon, A. et al. Dendritic action potentials and computation in human layer 2/3 cortical neurons. *Science* **367**, 83–87 (2020).
55. Kalmbach, B. E. et al. h-channels contribute to divergent intrinsic membrane properties of supragranular pyramidal neurons in human versus mouse cerebral cortex. *Neuron* **100**, 1194–1208 e1195 (2018).
Changes in h-channel expression might explain the divergent electrophysiological properties of human cortical layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons.
56. Schwarz, N. et al. Long-term adult human brain slice cultures as a model system to study human CNS circuitry and disease. *Elife* **8**, e48417 (2019).
57. Stuart, G., Spruston, N. & Häusser, M. (eds) *Dendrites* (3rd edn) (Oxford University Press, 2016).
58. Kalmbach, B. E. et al. Signature morpho-electric, transcriptomic, and dendritic properties of human layer 5 neocortical pyramidal neurons. *Neuron* **109**, 2914–2927 e2915 (2021).
59. Francioni, V. & Häusser, M. T. Rethinking single neuron electrical compartmentalization: dendritic contributions to network computation in vivo. *Neuroscience* **489**, 185–199 (2022).
60. Eyal, G. et al. Human cortical pyramidal neurons: from spines to spikes via models. *Front. Cell Neurosci.* **12**, 181 (2018).
61. Eyal, G. et al. Unique membrane properties and enhanced signal processing in human neocortical neurons. *Elife* **5**, e16553 (2016).
62. Campagnola, L. et al. Local connectivity and synaptic dynamics in mouse and human neocortex. *Science* **375**, eabj5861 (2022).
63. Molnar, G. et al. Complex events initiated by individual spikes in the human cerebral cortex. *PLoS Biol.* **6**, e222 (2008).
64. Molnar, G. et al. Human pyramidal to interneuron synapses are mediated by multi-vesicular release and multiple docked vesicles. *Elife* **5**, e18167 (2016).
65. Szegedi, V. et al. Plasticity in single axon glutamatergic connection to GABAergic interneurons regulates complex events in the human neocortex. *PLoS Biol.* **14**, e2000237 (2016).
66. Testa-Silva, G. et al. High bandwidth synaptic communication and frequency tracking in human neocortex. *PLoS Biol.* **12**, e1002007 (2014).
67. Bastos, A. M. et al. Canonical microcircuits for predictive coding. *Neuron* **76**, 695–711 (2012).
68. Douglas, R. J. & Martin, K. A. A functional microcircuit for cat visual cortex. *J. Physiol.* **440**, 735–769 (1991).
69. Vendetti, M. S. & Bunge, S. A. Evolutionary and developmental changes in the lateral frontoparietal network: a little goes a long way for higher-level cognition. *Neuron* **84**, 906–917 (2014).
70. Libe-Philippot, B. & Vanderhaeghen, P. Cellular and molecular mechanisms linking human cortical development and evolution. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **55**, 555–581 (2021).
71. Miller, D. J., Bhaduri, A., Sestan, N. & Kriegstein, A. Shared and derived features of cellular diversity in the human cerebral cortex. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **56**, 117–124 (2019).
72. Silbereis, J. C., Pochareddy, S., Zhu, Y., Li, M. & Sestan, N. The cellular and molecular landscapes of the developing human central nervous system. *Neuron* **89**, 248–268 (2016).
73. Villalba, A., Gotz, M. & Borrell, V. The regulation of cortical neurogenesis. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **142**, 1–66 (2021).
74. Benito-Kwiecinski, S. et al. An early cell shape transition drives evolutionary expansion of the human forebrain. *Cell* **184**, 2084–2102 e2019 (2021).
Comparison of great ape and human neural organoids reveals mechanisms of prolonged expansion of human neuroepithelial cells.
75. Espuny-Camacho, I. et al. Pyramidal neurons derived from human pluripotent stem cells integrate efficiently into mouse brain circuits in vivo. *Neuron* **77**, 440–456 (2013).
Human and mouse corticogenesis retains species-specific developmental timing in vitro and following xenotransplantation.
76. Gaspard, N. et al. An intrinsic mechanism of corticogenesis from embryonic stem cells. *Nature* **455**, 351–357 (2008).
77. Kanton, S. et al. Organoid single-cell genomic atlas uncovers human-specific features of brain development. *Nature* **574**, 418–422 (2019).
78. Otani, T., Marchetto, M. C., Gage, F. H., Simons, B. D. & Livesey, F. J. 2D and 3D stem cell models of primate cortical development identify species-specific differences in progenitor behavior contributing to brain size. *Cell Stem Cell* **18**, 467–480 (2016).
79. Pollen, A. A. et al. Establishing cerebral organoids as models of human-specific brain evolution. *Cell* **176**, 743–756 e717 (2019).
Comparative single-cell transcriptomic analysis of human and primate fetal brain and organoids reveals increased mTOR signalling in human outer radial glia.
80. Polleux, F., Dehay, C., Moraillon, B. & Kennedy, H. Regulation of neuroblast cell-cycle kinetics plays a crucial role in the generation of unique features of neocortical areas. *J. Neurosci.* **17**, 7763–7783 (1997).
81. Dehay, C. & Kennedy, H. Cell-cycle control and cortical development. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **8**, 438–450 (2007).
82. Iwata, R., Casimir, P. & Vanderhaeghen, P. Mitochondrial dynamics in postmitotic cells regulate neurogenesis. *Science* **369**, 858–862 (2020).
83. Kriegstein, A., Noctor, S. & Martinez-Cerdeno, V. Patterns of neural stem and progenitor cell division may underlie evolutionary cortical expansion. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **7**, 883–890 (2006).
84. Fietz, S. A. et al. OSVZ progenitors of human and ferret neocortex are epithelial-like and expand by integrin signaling. *Nat. Neurosci.* **13**, 690–699 (2010).
85. Hansen, D. V., Lui, J. H., Parker, P. R. & Kriegstein, A. R. Neurogenic radial glia in the outer subventricular zone of human neocortex. *Nature* **464**, 554–561 (2010).
86. Reillo, I., de Juan Romero, C., Garcia-Cabezas, M. A. & Borrell, V. A role for intermediate radial glia in the tangential expansion of the mammalian cerebral cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* **21**, 1674–1694 (2011).
Refs. 84–86 describe outer radial glial cells and their implications for increasing cortical size.
87. Dehay, C., Kennedy, H. & Kosik, K. S. The outer subventricular zone and primate-specific cortical complexification. *Neuron* **85**, 683–694 (2015).
88. Smart, I. H., Dehay, C., Giroud, P., Berland, M. & Kennedy, H. Unique morphological features of the proliferative zones and postmitotic compartments of the neural epithelium giving rise to striate and extrastriate cortex in the monkey. *Cereb. Cortex* **12**, 37–53 (2002).
89. Pollen, A. A. et al. Molecular identity of human outer radial glia during cortical development. *Cell* **163**, 55–67 (2015).
90. Nowakowski, T. J., Pollen, A. A., Sandoval-Espinosa, C. & Kriegstein, A. R. Transformation of the radial glia scaffold demarcates two stages of human cerebral cortex development. *Neuron* **91**, 1219–1227 (2016).
91. Fang, W. Q. & Yuste, R. Overproduction of neurons is correlated with enhanced cortical ensembles and increased perceptual discrimination. *Cell Rep.* **21**, 381–392 (2017).
Pharmacologically increasing the production of layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in the mouse leads to a significant improvement in visual circuit function.
92. Boothe, R. G., Greenough, W. T., Lund, J. S. & Wrege, K. A quantitative investigation of spine and dendrite development of neurons in visual cortex (area 17) of *Macaca nemestrina* monkeys. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **186**, 473–489 (1979).
93. Bourgeois, J. P. & Rakic, P. Changes of synaptic density in the primary visual cortex of the macaque monkey from fetal to adult stage. *J. Neurosci.* **13**, 2801–2820 (1993).
94. Huttenlocher, P. R. Synaptic density in human frontal cortex - developmental changes and effects of aging. *Brain Res.* **163**, 195–205 (1979).
95. Huttenlocher, P. R. & Dabholkar, A. S. Regional differences in synaptogenesis in human cerebral cortex. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **387**, 167–178 (1997).
96. Mrzljak, L., Uylings, H. B., Van Eden, C. G. & Judas, M. Neuronal development in human prefrontal cortex in prenatal and postnatal stages. *Prog. Brain Res.* **85**, 185–222 (1990).
97. Petanjek, Z., Judas, M., Kostovic, I. & Uylings, H. B. Lifespan alterations of basal dendritic trees of pyramidal neurons in the human prefrontal cortex: a layer-specific pattern. *Cereb. Cortex* **18**, 915–929 (2008).
98. Petanjek, Z. et al. Extraordinary neoteny of synaptic spines in the human prefrontal cortex. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 13281–13286 (2011).
Quantitative assessment of dendritic spine density demonstrates striking neoteny of synaptic development characterizing human cortex development.
99. Petanjek, Z. et al. The protracted maturation of associative layer IIIC pyramidal neurons in the human prefrontal cortex during childhood: a major role in cognitive development and selective alteration in autism. *Front. Psychiatry* **10**, 122 (2019).
100. Verendeev, A. & Sherwood, C. C. Human brain evolution. *Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci.* **16**, 41–45 (2017).
101. Courchesne, E. et al. Mapping early brain development in autism. *Neuron* **56**, 399–413 (2007).
102. Hazlett, H. C. et al. Early brain development in infants at high risk for autism spectrum disorder. *Nature* **542**, 348–351 (2017).
103. Bianchi, S. et al. Synaptogenesis and development of pyramidal neuron dendritic morphology in the chimpanzee neocortex resembles humans. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 10395–10401 (2013).
104. Travis, K., Ford, K. & Jacobs, B. Regional dendritic variation in neonatal human cortex: a quantitative Golgi study. *Dev. Neurosci.* **27**, 277–287 (2005).
105. Elston, G. N., Oga, T. & Fujita, I. Spinogenesis and pruning scales across functional hierarchies. *J. Neurosci.* **29**, 3271–3275 (2009).
106. Klingler, E. et al. Temporal controls over inter-areal cortical projection neuron fate diversity. *Nature* **599**, 453–457 (2021).
107. Paredes, M. F. et al. Extensive migration of young neurons into the infant human frontal lobe. *Science* **354**, aaf7073 (2016).
108. Sorrells, S. F. et al. Immature excitatory neurons develop during adolescence in the human amygdala. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2748 (2019).
109. Miller, D. J. et al. Prolonged myelination in human neocortical evolution. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 16480–16485 (2012).
110. Williamson, J. M. & Lyons, D. A. Myelin dynamics throughout life: an ever-changing landscape. *Front. Cell Neurosci.* **12**, 424 (2018).
111. Linaro, D. et al. Xenotransplanted human cortical neurons reveal species-specific development and functional integration into mouse visual circuits. *Neuron* **104**, 972–986 e976 (2019).
Xenotransplanted human cortical neurons integrate functionally into mouse cortical circuits following their species-specific tempo.

112. Maroof, A. M. et al. Directed differentiation and functional maturation of cortical interneurons from human embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **12**, 559–572 (2013).
113. Nicholas, C. R. et al. Functional maturation of hPSC-derived forebrain interneurons requires an extended timeline and mimics human neural development. *Cell Stem Cell* **12**, 573–586 (2013).
114. Schornig, M. et al. Comparison of induced neurons reveals slower structural and functional maturation in humans than in apes. *Elife* **10**, e59323 (2021).
115. Marchetto, M. C. et al. Species-specific maturation profiles of human, chimpanzee and bonobo neural cells. *Elife* **8**, e37527 (2019).
116. Molnar, Z., Luhmann, H. J. & Kanold, P. O. Transient cortical circuits match spontaneous and sensory-driven activity during development. *Science* **370**, eabb2153 (2020).
117. Carroll, S. B. Genetics and the making of Homo sapiens. *Nature* **422**, 849–857 (2003).
118. Kaessmann, H. Origins, evolution, and phenotypic impact of new genes. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1313–1326 (2010).
119. Davidson, E. H. & Erwin, D. H. Gene regulatory networks and the evolution of animal body plans. *Science* **311**, 796–800 (2006).
120. Cardoso-Moreira, M. et al. Gene expression across mammalian organ development. *Nature* **571**, 505–509 (2019).
121. Pollard, K. S. et al. An RNA gene expressed during cortical development evolved rapidly in humans. *Nature* **443**, 167–172 (2006).
122. Prabhakar, S., Noonan, J. P., Paabo, S. & Rubin, E. M. Accelerated evolution of conserved noncoding sequences in humans. *Science* **314**, 786 (2006).
- Refs. 121 and 122 describe human accelerated regions and suggest their implication in human brain development.**
123. Capra, J. A., Erwin, G. D., McKinsey, G., Rubenstein, J. L. & Pollard, K. S. Many human accelerated regions are developmental enhancers. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **368**, 20130025 (2013).
124. Doan, R. N. et al. Mutations in human accelerated regions disrupt cognition and social behavior. *Cell* **167**, 341–354 e312 (2016).
- This study identifies human accelerated regions associated with neurodevelopmental diseases.**
125. Kamm, G. B., Pisciotto, F., Klinger, R. & Franchini, L. F. The developmental brain gene NPAS3 contains the largest number of accelerated regulatory sequences in the human genome. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 1088–1102 (2013).
126. Lambert, N. et al. Genes expressed in specific areas of the human fetal cerebral cortex display distinct patterns of evolution. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e17753 (2011).
127. Miller, J. A. et al. Transcriptional landscape of the prenatal human brain. *Nature* **508**, 199–206 (2014).
128. Wei, Y. et al. Genetic mapping and evolutionary analysis of human-expanded cognitive networks. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 4839 (2019).
129. Won, H., Huang, J., Opland, C. K., Hartl, C. L. & Geschwind, D. H. Human evolved regulatory elements modulate genes involved in cortical expansion and neurodevelopmental disease susceptibility. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2396 (2019).
130. Girskis, K. M. et al. Rewiring of human neurodevelopmental gene regulatory programs by human accelerated regions. *Neuron* **109**, 3239–3251 e3237 (2021).
131. Uebbing, S. et al. Massively parallel discovery of human-specific substitutions that alter enhancer activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **118**, e2007049118 (2021).
132. Whalen, S. et al. Machine-learning dissection of human accelerated regions in primate neurodevelopment. *Neuron* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2022.12.026> (2023).
133. Chenn, A. & Walsh, C. A. Regulation of cerebral cortical size by control of cell cycle exit in neural precursors. *Science* **297**, 365–369 (2002).
134. Tiberi, L., Vanderhaeghen, P. & van den Aemele, J. Cortical neurogenesis and morphogenesis: diversity of cues, sources and functions. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **24**, 269–276 (2012).
135. Boyd, J. L. et al. Human-chimpanzee differences in a FZD8 enhancer alter cell-cycle dynamics in the developing neocortex. *Curr. Biol.* **25**, 772–779 (2015).
- A human accelerated region that enhances WNT signalling has an impact on cortical expansion.**
136. Reilly, S. K. et al. Evolutionary genomics. Evolutionary changes in promoter and enhancer activity during human corticogenesis. *Science* **347**, 1155–1159 (2015).
- A description of regulatory elements displaying human-specific activation during brain development.**
137. de la Torre-Ubieta, L. et al. The dynamic landscape of open chromatin during human cortical neurogenesis. *Cell* **172**, 289–304 e218 (2018).
138. Song, M. et al. Cell-type-specific 3D epigenomes in the developing human cortex. *Nature* **587**, 644–649 (2020).
139. Fiddes, I. T. et al. Human-specific NOTCH2NL genes affect notch signaling and cortical neurogenesis. *Cell* **173**, 1356–1369 e1322 (2018).
140. Suzuki, I. K. et al. Human-specific NOTCH2NL genes expand cortical neurogenesis through delta/notch regulation. *Cell* **173**, 1370–1384.e16 (2018).
- Refs. 139 and 140 identify hominid-specific genes NOTCH2NL as human-specific modifiers of cortical neurogenesis.**
141. Tilot, A. K. et al. The evolutionary history of common genetic variants influencing human cortical surface area. *Cereb. Cortex* **31**, 1873–1887 (2021).
142. Vermunt, M. W. et al. Epigenomic annotation of gene regulatory alterations during evolution of the primate brain. *Nat. Neurosci.* **19**, 494–503 (2016).
143. Moriano, J. & Boeckx, C. Modern human changes in regulatory regions implicated in cortical development. *BMC Genomics* **21**, 304 (2020).
144. Bolt, C. C. & Duboule, D. The regulatory landscapes of developmental genes. *Development* **147**, dev171736 (2020).
145. Luo, X. et al. 3D Genome of macaque fetal brain reveals evolutionary innovations during primate corticogenesis. *Cell* **184**, 723–740 e721 (2021).
146. Vanderhaeghen, P. & Polleux, F. Developmental mechanisms patterning thalamocortical projections: Intrinsic, extrinsic and in between. *Trends Neurosci.* **27**, 384–391 (2004).
147. McLean, C. Y. et al. Human-specific loss of regulatory DNA and the evolution of human-specific traits. *Nature* **471**, 216–219 (2011).
148. Kronenberg, Z. N. et al. High-resolution comparative analysis of great ape genomes. *Science* **360**, eaar6343 (2018).
149. Agoglia, R. M. et al. Primate cell fusion disentangles gene regulatory divergence in neurodevelopment. *Nature* **592**, 421–427 (2021).
150. Song, J. H. T. et al. Genetic studies of human-chimpanzee divergence using stem cell fusions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **118**, e2117557118 (2021).
151. Li, M. et al. Integrative functional genomic analysis of human brain development and neuropsychiatric risks. *Science* **362**, eaat7615 (2018).
152. Pletikos, M. et al. Temporal specification and bilaterality of human neocortical topographic gene expression. *Neuron* **81**, 321–332 (2014).
153. Zhu, Y. et al. Spatiotemporal transcriptomic divergence across human and macaque brain development. *Science* **362**, eaat8077 (2018).
154. Lui, J. H. et al. Radial glia require PDGFR α -PDGFR β signalling in human but not mouse neocortex. *Nature* **515**, 264–268 (2014).
155. Andrews, M. G., Subramanian, L. & Kriegstein, A. R. mTOR signaling regulates the morphology and migration of outer radial glia in developing human cortex. *Elife* **9**, e58737 (2020).
156. Nowakowski, T. J. et al. Spatiotemporal gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. *Science* **358**, 1318–1323 (2017).
157. Jacobs, F. M. et al. An evolutionary arms race between KRAB zinc-finger genes ZNF91/93 and SVA/L1 retrotransposons. *Nature* **516**, 242–245 (2014).
158. Johansson, P. A. et al. A cis-acting structural variation at the ZNF558 locus controls a gene regulatory network in human brain development. *Cell Stem Cell* **29**, 52–69 e58 (2022).
159. Turelli, P. et al. Primate-restricted KRAB zinc finger proteins and target retrotransposons control gene expression in human neurons. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaba3200 (2020).
160. Arcila, M. L. et al. Novel primate miRNAs coevolved with ancient target genes in germinal zone-specific expression patterns. *Neuron* **81**, 1255–1262 (2014).
161. Nowakowski, T. J. et al. Regulation of cell-type-specific transcriptomes by microRNA networks during human brain development. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 1784–1792 (2018).
162. Sarropoulos, I., Marin, R., Cardoso-Moreira, M. & Kaessmann, H. Developmental dynamics of lncRNAs across mammalian organs and species. *Nature* **571**, 510–514 (2019).
163. Rani, N. et al. A primate lncRNA mediates notch signaling during neuronal development by sequestering miRNA. *Neuron* **90**, 1174–1188 (2016).
164. Field, A. R. et al. Structurally conserved primate lncRNAs are transiently expressed during human cortical differentiation and influence cell-type-specific genes. *Stem Cell Rep.* **12**, 245–257 (2019).
165. Shibata, M. et al. Hominini-specific regulation of CBLN2 increases prefrontal spinogenesis. *Nature* **598**, 489–494 (2021).
- This study identifies molecular mechanisms that underlie the increased connectivity of the human prefrontal cortex.**
166. Zifra, R. S. et al. Single-cell epigenomics reveals mechanisms of human cortical development. *Nature* **598**, 205–213 (2021).
167. Bhaduri, A. et al. An atlas of cortical arealization identifies dynamic molecular signatures. *Nature* **598**, 200–204 (2021).
- A comprehensive single-cell transcriptomic study describing area-specific patterns of gene expression in the human cortex.**
168. Gu, Z. et al. Control of species-dependent cortico-motoneuronal connections underlying manual dexterity. *Science* **357**, 400–404 (2017).
169. Ebert, D. H. & Greenberg, M. E. Activity-dependent neuronal signalling and autism spectrum disorder. *Nature* **493**, 327–337 (2013).
170. Ataman, B. et al. Evolution of osteocrin as an activity-regulated factor in the primate brain. *Nature* **539**, 242–247 (2016).
- This study identifies primate-specific transcriptional programmes triggered by neuronal activity.**
171. Pruunsild, P., Bengtson, C. P. & Bading, H. Networks of cultured iPSC-derived neurons reveal the human synaptic activity-regulated adaptive gene program. *Cell Rep.* **18**, 122–135 (2017).
172. Qiu, J. et al. Evidence for evolutionary divergence of activity-dependent gene expression in developing neurons. *Elife* **5**, e20337 (2016).
173. Liu, X. et al. Extension of cortical synaptic development distinguishes humans from chimpanzees and macaques. *Genome Res.* **22**, 611–622 (2012).
174. Boulting, G. L. et al. Activity-dependent regulome of human GABAergic neurons reveals new patterns of gene regulation and neurological disease heritability. *Nat. Neurosci.* **24**, 437–448 (2021).
175. Raju, C. S. et al. Secretagogin is expressed by developing neocortical GABAergic neurons in humans but not mice and increases neurite arbor size and complexity. *Cereb. Cortex* **28**, 1946–1958 (2018).
176. Casteljons, B. et al. Hominin-specific regulatory elements selectively emerged in oligodendrocytes and are disrupted in autism patients. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 301 (2020).
177. Bustamante, C. D. et al. Natural selection on protein-coding genes in the human genome. *Nature* **437**, 1153–1157 (2005).
178. Williamson, S. H. et al. Localizing recent adaptive evolution in the human genome. *PLoS Genet.* **3**, e90 (2007).

179. Lindblad-Toh, K. et al. A high-resolution map of human evolutionary constraint using 29 mammals. *Nature* **478**, 476–482 (2011).
180. den Hoed, J. & Fisher, S. E. Genetic pathways involved in human speech disorders. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **65**, 103–111 (2020).
181. Enard, W. et al. A humanized version of Foxp2 affects cortico-basal ganglia circuits in mice. *Cell* **137**, 961–971 (2009).
182. Paabo, S. The human condition—a molecular approach. *Cell* **157**, 216–226 (2014).
183. Rosso, L. et al. Birth and rapid subcellular adaptation of a hominoid-specific CDC14 protein. *PLoS Biol.* **6**, e140 (2008).
184. Fortna, A. et al. Lineage-specific gene duplication and loss in human and great ape evolution. *PLoS Biol.* **2**, E207 (2004).
185. Sudmant, P. H. et al. Diversity of human copy number variation and multicopy genes. *Science* **330**, 641–646 (2010).
- Refs. 184 and 185 describe hominid-specific gene duplications.**
186. Dennis, M. Y. et al. Evolution of human-specific neural SRGAP2 genes by incomplete segmental duplication. *Cell* **149**, 912–922 (2012).
187. Stefansson, H. et al. Large recurrent microdeletions associated with schizophrenia. *Nature* **455**, 232–236 (2008).
188. Florio, M. et al. Evolution and cell-type specificity of human-specific genes preferentially expressed in progenitors of fetal neocortex. *Elife* **7**, e32332 (2018).
189. Florio, M. et al. Human-specific gene ARHGAP11B promotes basal progenitor amplification and neocortex expansion. *Science* **347**, 1465–1470 (2015).
190. Heide, M. et al. Human-specific ARHGAP11B increases size and folding of primate neocortex in the fetal marmoset. *Science* **369**, 546–550 (2020).
191. Hou, Q. Q., Xiao, Q., Sun, X. Y., Ju, X. C. & Luo, Z. G. TBC1D3 promotes neural progenitor proliferation by suppressing the histone methyltransferase G9a. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eaba8053 (2021).
192. Ju, X. C. et al. The hominoid-specific gene TBC1D3 promotes generation of basal neural progenitors and induces cortical folding in mice. *Elife* **5**, e18197 (2016).
193. Liu, J. et al. The primate-specific gene TMEM14B marks outer radial glia cells and promotes cortical expansion and folding. *Cell Stem Cell* **21**, 635–649 e638 (2017).
194. Van Heurck, R. et al. CROCCP2 acts as a human-specific modifier of cilia dynamics and mTOR signaling to promote expansion of cortical progenitors. *Neuron* **65**–80.e6 (2023).
195. Wong, K. et al. Signal transduction in neuronal migration: roles of GTPase activating proteins and the small GTPase Cdc42 in the Slit-Robo pathway. *Cell* **107**, 209–221 (2001).
196. Bacon, C., Endris, V. & Rappold, G. Dynamic expression of the Slit-Robo GTPase activating protein genes during development of the murine nervous system. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **513**, 224–236 (2009).
197. Guerrier, S. et al. The F-BAR domain of srGAP2 induces membrane protrusions required for neuronal migration and morphogenesis. *Cell* **138**, 990–1004 (2009).
198. Charrier, C. et al. Inhibition of SRGAP2 function by its human-specific paralogs induces neoteny during spine maturation. *Cell* **149**, 923–935 (2012).
199. Schmidt, E. R. E., Kupferman, J. V., Stackmann, M. & Polleux, F. The human-specific paralogs SRGAP2B and SRGAP2C differentially modulate SRGAP2A-dependent synaptic development. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 18692 (2019).
200. Fossati, M. et al. SRGAP2 and its human-specific paralogs co-regulate the development of excitatory and inhibitory synapses. *Neuron* **91**, 356–369 (2016).
- Refs. 198–200 demonstrate that the human-specific paralog SRGAP2C inhibits the function of the ancestral SRGAP2A postsynaptic protein and leads to neotenic and increased excitatory and inhibitory synapse density.**
201. Schmidt, E. R. E. et al. A human-specific modifier of cortical connectivity and circuit function. *Nature* **599**, 640–644 (2021).
- Expression of human-specific SRGAP2C in mouse cortical pyramidal neurons leads to increased cortico-cortical connectivity, improved sensory coding by cortical circuits and improved behavioural performance in a sensory discrimination task.**
202. Iwata, R. et al. Mitochondria metabolism sets the species-specific tempo of neuronal development. *Science* <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn4705> (2023).
- This study identifies mitochondria metabolism as a key regulator of the species-specific tempo of neuronal development.**
203. Rayon, T. et al. Species-specific pace of development is associated with differences in protein stability. *Science* **369**, eaba7667 (2020).
204. Matsuda, M. et al. Species-specific segmentation clock periods are due to differential biochemical reaction speeds. *Science* **369**, 1450–1455 (2020).
205. Hoye, M. L. et al. Aberrant cortical development is driven by impaired cell cycle and translational control in a DDX3X syndrome model. *Elife* **11**, e78203 (2022).
206. Wu, Q. et al. Selective translation of epigenetic modifiers affects the temporal pattern and differentiation of neural stem cells. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 470 (2022).
207. Namba, T. et al. Human-specific ARHGAP11B acts in mitochondria to expand neocortical progenitors by glutaminolysis. *Neuron* **105**, 867–881 e869 (2020).
208. Angevine, J. B. Jr & Sidman, R. L. Autoradiographic study of cell migration during histogenesis of cerebral cortex in the mouse. *Nature* **192**, 766–768 (1961).
209. Polleux, F., Dehay, C. & Kennedy, H. The timetable of laminar neurogenesis contributes to the specification of cortical areas in mouse isocortex. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **385**, 95–116 (1997).
210. Schmidt, E. R. E. & Polleux, F. Genetic mechanisms underlying the evolution of connectivity in the human cortex. *Front. Neural Circuits* **15**, 787164 (2022).
211. Shi, Y., Kirwan, P., Smith, J., Robinson, H. P. & Livesey, F. J. Human cerebral cortex development from pluripotent stem cells to functional excitatory synapses. *Nat. Neurosci.* **15**, 477–486 (2012).
212. Kadoshima, T. et al. Self-organization of axial polarity, inside-out layer pattern, and species-specific progenitor dynamics in human ES cell-derived neocortex. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 20284–20289 (2013).
213. Lancaster, M. A. et al. Cerebral organoids model human brain development and microcephaly. *Nature* **501**, 373–379 (2013).
214. Arlotto, P. & Pasca, S. P. Cell diversity in the human cerebral cortex: from the embryo to brain organoids. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **56**, 194–198 (2019).
215. Birey, F. et al. Assembly of functionally integrated human forebrain spheroids. *Nature* **545**, 54–59 (2017).
216. Bhaduri, A. et al. Cell stress in cortical organoids impairs molecular subtype specification. *Nature* **578**, 142–148 (2020).
217. Hu, W. F., Chahrouh, M. H. & Walsh, C. A. The diverse genetic landscape of neurodevelopmental disorders. *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.* **15**, 195–213 (2014).
218. Eichler, E. E. Genetic variation, comparative genomics, and the diagnosis of disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **381**, 64–74 (2019).
219. Mefford, H. C. et al. Recurrent rearrangements of chromosome 1q21.1 and variable pediatric phenotypes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **359**, 1685–1699 (2008).
220. Sonderby, I. E. et al. 1q21.1 distal copy number variants are associated with cerebral and cognitive alterations in humans. *Transl. Psychiatry* **11**, 182 (2021).
221. Funato, K., Smith, R. C., Saito, Y. & Tabar, V. Dissecting the impact of regional identity and the oncogenic role of human-specific NOTCH2NL in an hESC model of H3.3G34R-mutant glioma. *Cell Stem Cell* **28**, 894–905 e897 (2021).
222. Sone, J. et al. Long-read sequencing identifies GGC repeat expansions in NOTCH2NL associated with neuronal intranuclear inclusion disease. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 1215–1221 (2019).
223. Tian, Y. et al. Expansion of human-specific GGC repeat in neuronal intranuclear inclusion disease-related disorders. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **105**, 166–176 (2019).
224. Kang, Y. et al. A human forebrain organoid model of fragile X syndrome exhibits altered neurogenesis and highlights new treatment strategies. *Nat. Neurosci.* **24**, 1377–1391 (2021).
225. Kwan, K. Y. et al. Species-dependent posttranscriptional regulation of NOS1 by FMRP in the developing cerebral cortex. *Cell* **149**, 899–911 (2012).
226. Marin, O. Developmental timing and critical windows for the treatment of psychiatric disorders. *Nat. Med.* **22**, 1229–1238 (2016).
227. Forrest, M. P., Parnell, E. & Penzes, P. Dendritic structural plasticity and neuropsychiatric disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **19**, 215–234 (2018).
228. Paulsen, B. et al. Autism genes converge on asynchronous development of shared neuron classes. *Nature* **602**, 268–273 (2022).
- Mutations in autism spectrum disease risk genes lead to aberrant developmental timing in cortical organoids.**
229. Schafer, S. T. et al. Pathological priming causes developmental gene network heterochronicity in autistic subject-derived neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* **22**, 243–255 (2019).
230. Penzes, P., Cahill, M. E., Jones, K. A., VanLeeuwen, J. E. & Woolfrey, K. M. Dendritic spine pathology in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nat. Neurosci.* **14**, 285–293 (2011).
231. Espuny-Camacho, I. et al. Hallmarks of Alzheimer’s disease in stem-cell-derived human neurons transplanted into mouse brain. *Neuron* **93**, 1066–1081 e1068 (2017).

Acknowledgements

The authors apologize to the many authors whose work could not be discussed owing to space constraints. They thank E. Schmidt for his help generating elements of Fig. 4. Work from the P.V. laboratory described here was funded by the European Research Council (ERC Advanced Grants GENDEVOCORTEX and NEUROTEMPO), the Belgian FWO and FRS/FNRS, the EOS Program, the AXA Research Fund, the Belgian Queen Elizabeth Medical Foundation, the ERA-NET NEURON and the Generet Fund. Work from the F.P. laboratory described here was funded by grants from NINDS (NIH grants ROINSO67557 and R35NS127232), an award from the Roger de Spoelberch Foundation and an award from the Nomis Foundation.

Author contributions

The authors contributed equally to all aspects of the article.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence should be addressed to Pierre Vanderhaeghen or Franck Polleux.

Peer review information *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

© Springer Nature Limited 2023